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ADDBR

SILVER BLUFF, South Carolina, Jandary $23,1845$.
Sir :-I received a short time ago, a letter from the Rev. Willoughby M. Dickinson, dated at your residence, "Playford Hall, near Ipswich, 26th Nov., 1844," in which was inclosed a copy of your Circular Letter address. ed to professing Christians in our Northern States, having no concern with Slavery, and to others there. I presume that Mr. Dickinson's letter was written with your knowledge, and the document inclosed with your consent and approbation. I therefore feel that there is no impropriety in my address. ing my reply directly to yourself, especially as there is nothing in Mr. Dick. insox's communication, requiring serious notice. Having abundant leisure, it will be a recreation to me to devote a portion of it to an examination and free discussion of the question of Slavery as it exists in our Southern States: and since you have thrown down the gauntiet to me, I do not hesitate to take it up.

Familiar as you have been with the discussions of this subject in all its aspects, and under all the excitements it has occasioned for sixty years past, I may not be able to present much that will be new to you. Nor ought I to indulge the hope of materially affecting the opinions you have so long cherished, and so zealously promulgated. Still, time and experience have developed facts, constantly furnishing fresh tests to opinions formed sixty years since, and continually placing this great question in points of view, which could scarcely occur to the most consummate intellect even a quarter of a century ago: Ard which may not have occurred yet to those whose previous convictions, prejudices and habits of thought have thoroughly and permanently biassed them to one fixed way of looking at the matter: While there are peculiarities in the operation of every social system, and special local as
well as moral causes matcrially affecting it, which no one, placed at the dis. tance you are from us, can fully comprehend or properly appreciate. Besides, it may be possibly, a novelty to you to encounter one who couscien. tiously believes the Domestic Slavery of these States to be not only an inex. orable necessity for the present, but a moral and humane institution, produc. tive of the greatest political and social advantages, and who is disposed, as I am, to defend it on these grounds.

I do not propose, however, to defend the African Slave Trade. That is no longer a question. Doubtless great evils arise from it as it has been, and is now conducted: unnecessary wars and cruel kidnapping in Africa: the most shocking barbarities in the Middle Passage: and perhaps a less humane system of slavery in countries continually supplied with fresh laborers at a cheap rate. The evils of it, however, it may be fairly presumed, are greatly ex. aggerated. And if I might judge of the truth of transactions stated as oc. curring in this trade, by that of those reported as transpiring among us, I should not hesitate to say, that a large proportion of the stories in circulation are unfounded, and most of the remainder highly colored.

On the passage of the Act of Parliament prohibiting this trade to British subjects rests what you esteem the glory of your life. It required twenty years of arduous agitation, and the intervening extraordinary political events, to convince your countrymen, and among the rest your pious King, of the expediency of this measure : and it is but just to sey, that no one individual rendered more essential service to the cause than you did. In reflecting on the subject, you must often ask yourself: What after all has been accomplish. ed; how much human suffering has been averted; how many human beings have been rescued from transatlantic slavery? And on the answers you can give these questions, must in a great measure I presume, depend the happiness of your life. In framing them, how frequently must you be reminded of the remark of Mr. Grosvexon, in one of the early debates upon the subject, which I believe you have yourself recorded, "that he had twenty objections to the abolition of the Slave 'Trade: the first was, that it was im-possible-the rest lie need not give." Can you say to yourself, or to the world, thit this frrst objection of Mr. Grosvfnor has been yet confuted? It was estimated at the eommencement of your agitation in 1787, that forty-five thousand A fricans were anumally transported to America and the West Indies. And the mortality of the Middle Passage, computed by some at 5 , is now admitted not to have exceeded 9 per cent. Notwithstanding your Act of Parliament, the previous abolition by the United States, and that all the powers ia the world have subsequently prolibited this trade-some of the greatest of them declaring it piracy, and covering the African seas with armed vessels to prevent it-Sir Thomas Fowel Buxton, a coadjutor of
yours, declared in 1840, that the number of Africans now annually sold into slavery beyond the sea, amounts, at the very least, to one hundred and fifiy thousand souls; while the mortality of the Middle Passage has increased, in consequence of the measures taken to suppress the trade, to 25 or 30 per cent. And of the one hundred and fifty thousand slaves who have been captured and liberated by British Men of War since the passage of your Act, Judge Jay, an American Abolitionist, asserts that one hundred thousand, or two-thirds, have ferished between their capture and liberution, Does it not really seem that Mr. Grosvenor was a Prophet? That though nearly all the "impossibilities" of $\mathbf{1 7 8 7}$ have vanished, and become as fumiliar facts as our household customs, under the magic influence of Steam, Cotton and univer. sal peace, yet this wonderful prophecy still stands, defying time and the energy and genius of mankind. Thousands of valuable lives and fifty millions of pounds sterling have been thrown away by your Government in fruitless attempts to overturn it. I hope you have not lived too long for your own happiness, though you have been spared to see that in spite of all your toils and those of your fellow laborers, and the accomplishment of all that human agency could do, the African Slave Trade has increased three-fold under your own eyes-more rupidly, perhaps, than any other ancient branch of eommerce-and that your efforts to suppress it have effected nothing more than a three-fold increase of its horrors. There is a God who rules this world-All powerful-Farseeing : He dues not permit His creatures to fuil His designs. It is He who, for His allwise, though to us often inserutable purposes, throws "impossibilities" in the way of our fondest hopes and most streuuous exertions. Can you doubt this?

Experience having settled the point, that this Trade cannot be abolished by the use of force, and that blockading squadrons serve only to mate it more profitable and more cruel, I am surprised that the attempt is persisled in, un. less it serves as a cloak to some other purposes. It would be far better than it now is, for the African, if the trade was free from all restrietions, and left to the mitigation and decay whieh time and competition would surely bring about. If kidnapping, both secretly and by war made for the purpose, could be by any means prevented in Africa, the next greatest blessing you could bestow upon that country would be to transport its actual slaves in comfortable vessels across the Atlantic. 'Though they might be perpetual bondsmen, still, they would emerge from darkness into light-from burbarism to civili-zation-from idolatry to christianity - in short from death to life.

But let us leave the African slave trade, which has so signally defeated the Philanthropy of the worl', and turn to American slavery to which you have now directed your attention, and against which a crusude has been preached as enthusiastic and ferocious as that of Peter the Hermit-destined, I believe, to be about assuccessful. And here let mesay, there is a vast diference
between the two, though you may not acknowlege it . The wisdom of ages has concurred in the justice and expediency of establishing rights by prescriptive use, however tortious, in their origin they may have been. You would deem a man insane, whose keen sense of equity would lead him to denounce your right to the lands you hold, and which perhaps you inherited from a long line of ancestry, because your title was derived from a Saxon or Nor. man conqueror, and your lands were originally wrested by violence from the vanquished Britons. And so would the New England Abolitionist regard any one who would insist that he should restore his farm to the descendants of the slaughtered Red men to whom God had as clearly given it as he gave life and freedom to the kidnapped $\Lambda$ frican. That time does not consecrate wrong, is a fallacy which all history exposes; and which the best and wisest mien of all ages and professions of religious faith have practically denied. The means, therefore, whatever they may have been, by which the African race now in this country have been reduced to slavery, cannot affect us, since they are our property, as your land is yours, by inheritance or purchase and prescriptive right. You will say that man cannot hold property in man. The answer is, that he can and actually does hold property in his fellow all the world over, in a variety of forms, and has always done so. I will show presently his authority for doing it.

If you were to ask me whether I was an advocate of slavery in the abstract, I should probably answer, that I am not, according to my understand. ing of the question. I do not like to deal in abstractions. It seldonn leads to any useful ends. There are few universal truths. I do not now remember any single moral truth universally acknowledged. We have no assurance that it is given to our finite understanding to comprehend abstract moral truth. Apart from Revelation and the Inspired Writings, what ideas should we have even of God, Salvation and Immortality? Let the Heathen answer. Justice itself is impalpable as an abstraction, and abstract liberty the merest phantasy that ever amused the imagination. This world was made for man, and man for the world as it is. Ourselves, our relations with one another and with all matter are real, not idcal. I might say that 1 am no more in favor of slavery in the abstract, than I am of poverty, disease, deformity, idiocy or any other inequality in the condition of the human family ; that I love perfection, and think I should enjoy a Milllenium such as God has promised. But what would it amount to? A pledge that I would join you to set about eradicating those apparently inevitable evils of our nature, in equalizing the condition of all mankind, consummating the perfection of our race, and introducing the Millenium? By no means. To effect these thiags, belongs exclusively to a Higher Power. And it would be well for us to leave the Almighty to perfect His own works and fulfil His own Covenants. Especially,
as the history of the past shows how entirely futile all human effurts have proved, when made for the purpose of aiding Him in carrying out even his revealed designs, and how invariably he has accomplished them by uncon. scious instruments, and in the face of human expectation. Nay more, that every attempt which has been made by fallible man to extort from the world obedience to his "abstract" notions of right and wrong, has been invariably attended with calamities, dire and extended just in proportion to the breadth and vigor of the movement. On slavery in the abstract, then, it would not be amiss to have as little as possible to say. Let us contemplate it as it is. And thus contemplating it, the first question we have to ask ourselves is, whether it is contrary to the Will of God, as revealed to us in His Holy Scriptures-the only certain means given us to ascertain His Will. If it is, then slavery is a sin. And I admit at orce that every man is bound to set his face against it, and to emancipate his slaves should he hold any.

Let us open these Holy Scriptures. In the twentieth chapter of Exodus, seventeenth verse, I find the following words: "Thou shalt not covet thy neighbor's house, thou shalt not covet thy neighbor's wife, nor his man-servant nor his maid-servant, nor his ox, nor his ass, nor anything that is thy neigh. bor's"-which is the Tenth of those commandments that declare the essential principles of the Great Moral Law delivered to Moses by God Himself. Now, discarding all technical and verbal quibbling as wholly unworthy to be used in interpreting the Word of God, what is the plain meaning, undoubted intent, and true spirit of this commandment? Does it not emphatically and explicitly forbid you to disturb your neighbor in the enjoyment of his property ; and more especially of that which is here specifically mentioned as being lawfully and by this commandment made sacredly his? Prominent in the catalogue stands his "man-servant and his maid-servant," who are thus dis. tinctly consecrated as his property and guarantied to him for his exclusive benefit in the most solemn manner. You attempt to avert the otherwise irresis. tible conclusion, that slavery was thus ordained by God, by declaring that the word "slave" is not used here, and is not to be found in the Bible. And I have seen many learned dissertations on this point from Abolition pens. It is well known that both the Hebrew and Greek words translated "servant" in the Scriptures, mean aiso and most usually "slave." The use of the one word instead of the other was a mere matter of taste with the Translators of the Bible, as it has been with all the coramentators and religious writers, the latter of whom have $\mathbf{I}$ believe for the most part adopted the term "slave, or used both terms indiscriminately. If, then, these Hebrew and Greek words include the idea of both systems of servitude, the conditional and unconditional, they should, as the major includes the minor proposition, be always translated "slaves" unless the sense of the whole text forbids it. The
real question, then is, what idea is intended to be conreyed by the words used in the commandment quoted? And it is clear to my mind that as no limitation is affised to them, and the express intention was to secure to mankind the peaceful enjoyment of every species of property, that the terms "Menservants and Maid servants" include all classes of servants, and establish a lawful, exclusive and indefeasible interest equally in the "Hebrew Brother who shall go out in the seventh year" and "the yearly hired servant," and those "purchased from the Heathen round about," who were to be "Bondmen forever," as the property of thear fellow mun.

You cannot deny that there were annong the Hebrews "Bond-men forever." You cannot deny that God especially authorized his chosen people to purchase "Bond-men forever" from the Heathen, as recorded in the 25th chap. of Leviticus, and that they are there designated by the very Hebrew word used in the Tenth commandment. Nor can you deny that a "Bond-man Forever" is a "Slave;" yet you endeavor to hang an argument of immortal consequence upon the wretched subterfuge, that the precise word "slave" is not to be found in the translation of the Bible. As if the Translators were canonical expounders of the Holy Scriptures, and their words, not God's meaning, must be regarded as His Revelation.

It is vain to look to Christ or any of his Apostles to justify such blasphemous perversions of the word of God. Although slavery in its most revolting form was every where visible around them, no visionary notions of piety or philanthropy ever tempted them to gainsay the Law, even to mitigate the cruel severity of the existing system. On the contrary, regarding slavery as an established as well as inevitable condition of human society, they never hint. ed at such a thing as its termination on earth, any more than that "the poor may cease out of the land," which God affirms to Moses shall never be: and they exhort "all servants under the yoke" to "count their masters as worthy of all honor:" "to obey them in all things accordings to the flesh; not with eye-service as men-pleasers, but in singleness of heart, fearing God:" "not only the good and gentle, but also the froward:" "for what glory is it if when ye are buffetted for your faults ye shall take it patiently? but if when ye do well and suffer for it ye take it patiently, this is acceptable of God." St. Paul actually apprehended a runaway slave and sent him to his master! Instead of deriving from the Gospel any sanction for the work you have undertaken, it would be difficult to imagine sentiments and conduct more strikingly in contrast than those of the Apostles and the Abolitionists.

It is impossible therefore to suppose that slavery is contrary to the Will of God. It is equally absurd to say that Americauslavery differs in form or principle from that of the chosen People. We accept the Bible terms as the defnition of our slavery, and its precepts as the guide of our conduct. We
desire nothing more. Eien the right to "buffut," which is esteemed so shocking, finds its express license in the Gospel. 1 Pe'er ii. 20. Nay, what is more, God directs the Hebrews to " bore holes in the ears of their brothers" to mark them, when under certain circumstanecs they become perpetual slaves : Ex. xxi. 6.

I think, then, I may safely conclude, and I firmly believe, that American slavery is not only not a sin, but especially commanded by God through Moses, and approved by Christ through His Apostles. And here I might close its defence; for what God ordains and Christ sanctifies should surely command the respect and toleration of Man. But I fear there has grown up in our time a Transcendental Religion which is throwing even Transeen. dental Philosophy into the shade-a Religion too pure and elevated for ti:e Bible; which seeks to erect among mena higher stundard of Morals than the Almighty has revealed or our Saviour preached; and which is probably destined to do more to impede the extension of God's Kingdom on earth than all the Infidels who have ever lived. Error is error. It is as dangerous to deviate to the right hand as the left. And when men, professing to be holy men, and who are by numbers so regarded, declare those things to be siiful which our Creator has expressly authorized and instituted, they do more to de-troy Ilis authority among mankind than the most wicked can effect by proclaiming that to be innocent which He has forbidden. To this self-righteous and selfexalted class belong all the Abolitionists whose writings I have read. With them it is no end of the argument to prove your propositions by the text of the Bible, interpreted according to its plain and palpable meaning, and as understood by all mankind for three thousand years before their time. They are more ingenious at construing and interpolating to accommodate it to their new-fangled and etherial code of morals, than ever were Voltaire or Hume in picking it to pieces to free the world from what they considered a delusion. When the Abolitionists proclaim "man-stealing" to be a $\sin$, and show me that it is so written down by God, I admit them to be right, and shudder at the idea of such a crime. But when I show them that to hold "bond-men forever" is ordained by God, they deny the Bible, and set up in its place a Lazo of their own making. I must then cease to reason with them on this branch of the question. Our religion differs as widely as our manners. The Great Judge in our day of final account must decide between us.

Turning from the consideration of slave-holding in its relations to man as an accountable being, let us examine it in its influence on his political and social state. Though, being foreigners to us, you are in no wise entitled th interfere with the civil institutions of this country, it has become quite common for your countrymen to decry slavery as an enormous political evil to us, and even to declare that our Nerthern States ought to withdraw from the

Confederacy rather than continue to be contaminated by it. The American Abolitionists appear to concur fully in these sentiments, and a portion at least of them are incessantly threatening to dissolve the Union. Nor should I be at ill surprised if they succeed. It would not be difficult, in my opinion, to conjecture which region, the North or South, would suffer most by such an event. For one I should not object, by any means, to cast my lot in a confederacy of States whose citizens might all be slave-holders.

I indorse without reserve the much-abused sentiment of Gov. M'Duffie, that "siavery is the corner stone of our Republican edifice;" while I repudiate, as ridiculously absurd, that much-lauded but no.where accredited dogma of Mr. Jefferson, that "all men are born equal." No Suciety has ever yet existed, and I have already incidentally quoted the highest authority to show that none ever will exist, without a natural variety of classes. The most marked of these must in a country like ours, be the rich and the poor, the educated and the ignorant. It will scarcely be disputed that the very poor have less leisure to prepare themselves for the proper discharge of public duties than the rich; and that the ignorant are wholly unfit for them at all. In all countries save ours these two classes, or the poor rather, who are presumed to be necessarily ignorant, are by law expressly excluded from all participation in the management of public affairs. In a Republican Government this cannot be done. Universal suffrage, though not essential in theory, seems to be in fact a necessary appendage to a Republican system. Where universal suffrage obtains it is obvious that the government is in the hands of a numerical majority ; and it is hardly necessary to say that in every part of the world more than half the people are ignorant and poor. Though no one can look upon poverty as a crime, and we do not generally here regard it as any objection to a man in his individual capacity, still it must be admitted that it is a wretched and in. secure government which is administered by its most ignorant citizens, and those who have the least at stake under it. Though intelligence and wealth have great influence here as everywherc in keeping in check reckless and unenlightened numbers. yet it is evident to close observers, if not to all, that these are rapidly usurping all power in the non-slave-holding States, and threaten a fearful crisis in Republican Institutions there at no remote period. In the slave-holding States, however, nearly one-half of the whole population and thuse the poorest and most ignorant, have no poli.ical influence whatever, because they are slaves. Of the other half a large proportion are both educated and independent in their circumstances, while those who unfortunately are not so, being still elevated far above the mass, are higher toned and more deeply interested in preserving a stable and well ordered Government, than the same class in any other country. Hence, slavery is truly the "corner stone" and foundation of every well-designcd and durable "Republican edifice."

With us every citizen is concerned in the maintainance of order, and in promoting honesty and industry among those of the lowest class who are our slaves; and our habitual vigilance renders standing armies, whether of Sol. diers or Policemen, entirely unnecessary. Small guards in our cities, and occasional patrols in the country, ensure us a repose and security known no where else. You cannot be ignorant that, excepting the United States, there is no country in the world whose existing Government would not be overturned in a month, but for its standing armies, maintained at an enormous and destructive cost to those whom they are destined to over-awe-so ram. pant and combative is the spirit of discontent wherever nominal Free labor prevails, with its ostensive privileges and its dismal servitude. Nor will it be long bcfore the "Free States" of this Union will be compelled to introduce the same expensive machinery to preserve order among their "free and equal" citizens. Already has Philadelphia organized a permanent Battalion for this purpose : New.York, Boston and Cincinnati will soon follow her example; and then the smaller towns and densely populated countics. The intervention of their militia to repress violations of the peace is becoming a daily affair. A strong Government, after some of the old fashions-though probably with a new name-sustained by the force of armed mercenaries, is the ultimate destiny of the non-slave-holding section of this confederacy, and one which may not be very distant.

It is a great mistake to suppose, as is generally done abroad, that in case of war slavery would be a source of weakness. It did not weaken Rome, nor Athens, nor Sparta, though their slaves were comparatively far more numerous than ours, of the same color for the most part with themselves, and large numbers of them familiar with the use of arms. I have no apprehen. sion that our slaves would seize such an opportunity to revolt. The present generation of them, born among us, would never think of such a thing at any time, unless instigated to it by others. Against such instigations we are always on our guard. In time of war we should be more watchful and bet. ter prepared to put down insurrections than at any other periods. Should any foreign nation be so lost to every sentiment of civilized humanity as to attempt to erect among us the standard of revolt, or to invade us with Black Troops for the base and barbarous purpose of stirring up servile war, their efforts would be signally rebuked. Our slaves could not be easily seduced, nor would any thing delight them more than to assist in stripping Cuffee of his regimentals to put him in the Cotton-field, which would be the fate of most black invaders, without any very prolix form of "apprenticeship." lf, as I am satisfied would be the case, our slaves remained peacefully on our plantations, and cultivated them in time of war under the surperintendance of a limited number of our citizens, it is obvious that we could put furth
more strength in such an emergency, at less sacrifice, than any other people of the same numbers. And thes we should in every point of view, "out of this nettle danger, pluck the flower safety."

How far slavery may be an advantage or disadvantage to those not owning slaves, yet united with us in political association, is a question for their sole consideration. It is true that our Representation in Congress is increased by it. But so are our Taxes; and the non-slave-holding States being the majority divide among themselves far the greater portion of the amouns levied by the Federal Government. And I doubt not that when it comes to a close calculation they will not be slow in finding out that the balance of profit arising from the connection is vastly in their favor.

In a social point of view the Abolitionists pronounce slavery to be a mon. strous cvil. If it was so, it would be our own peculiar coneern, and superfluous benevolence in them to lament cver it. Seeing their bitter hostility to us, they might leave us to cope with our own calamities. But they make war upon us out of excess of charity, and attempt to purify by covering us with calumny. You have read and assisted to circulate a great deal about affrays, duels and murders occuring here, and all attributed to the terrible demoralization of slavery. Not a single event of this sort takes place among us, but it is caught up by the Abolitionists and paraded over the world with endless comments, variations and exaggerations. You should not take what reaches you as a mere sample, and infer that there is a vast deal more you never hear. Fou hear all, and more than all, the truth.

It is true that the point of honor is recognized throughout the slave region, and that disputes of certain classcs are frequently referred for adjustment to the "trial by combat." It would not be appropriate fur me to cnter, in this letter, into a defence of the practice of duelling, nor to maintain at length that it does not tarnish the character of a people to acknowledge a standard of honur. Whatever evils may arise from it, however, they cannot be attributed to slavery, since the same custom prevails both in France and England. Few of your Prime Ministers, of the last half century even, have escaped the contagion, I believe. The affrays, of which so much is said, and in which rifles, bowie-knives and pistols are so prominent, occur mostly in the Frontier States of the South-West. They are naturally iucidental to the condition of suciety as it exists in many sections of these recently settled countries, and will as naturally cease in due time. Adven. turers from the older States and from Europe, as desperate in character as they are in furtune, congregate in these wild regions, jostling one another and often forcing the peaceable and honest into rencontres in self-defence. Slavery has notling to do with these things. Stability and peace are the first desires of every slave-holder, and the true tendency of the system. It could
not possibly exist amid the eternal anarchy and civil broils of the ancient Spanish dominions in America. And for this very reasnn, domestic slavery has ceased there. So far from encouraging strife, such scenes of riot and bloodshed as have within the last few years disgraced our Northern cities, and as you have lately witnessed in Birmingham and Bristol and Wales, not only never have occurred, but I will venture to say never will oceur in our slave-holding States. The only thing that can create a mob (as you mightt call it) here, is the appearance of an Abolitionist whom the people assemble to chastisc. And this is no more of a mob, than a rally of slepherds :o chase a wolf out of their pastures would be one.

But we are swindlers and repudiators! Pennsylvania is not a slave State. A majority of the States which have fuiled to meet their obligations punctually are non-slave-holding; and twothirds of the debt said to be repudiated is owed by these States, Many of the States of this Union are heavily encumbered with debt-none so hopelessiy as England. Pennsylvania owes $\$ 22$ for each inhabitant-England $\$ 222$, counting her paupers in. Nor has there been any repudiation definite and final, of a lawful debt, that I am aware of. A few States have failed to pay some instalments of interest. The extraordinary financial difficultics which occurred a few years ago account for it. Time will set all things right again. Every dollar of both principal and in. terest owed by any State, North or South, will be ultimately paid, unlcss the abolition of slavery overuthelns us all in one common ruin. But have no other nations failed to pay? When were the French Assignats redeemed? How much interest did your National Bank pay on its inmense circulation from 1797 to 1821, during which period that circulation was inconvertible, and for the time repudiated? How much of your National Debt has been incurred for money borrowed to meet the interest on it, thus avoiding delinqueney in detail, by insuring inevitable bankruptey and repudation in the cud? And what sort of operation was that by which your present Ministry recently expunged a landsome amount of that debt by substituting, through a process just not compulsory, one species of security for another? I am well aware that the faults of others do not excuse our own, but when failings are charred to slavery, which are shown to occur to equal extent where it docs not exis:, surely slavery must be acquitted of the accusation.

It is roundly asserted, that we are not so well clucated nor so relligions here as elsewhere. I will not go into tedious statistical statements on these subjects. Nor have I, to tell the truth, much confidence in the details of what are commonly set forth as statistics. As to cducation, you will probably admi tha: slave-holders should have more leisure for mental culture than most people. And I believe it is charged against them that they are peculiarly fond of fower, and ambitious of honors. If this be so, as all the power and homors of this
countıy are won mainly by intellectual superiority it might be fairly presumed that slave-hulders would not be neglectful of education. In proof of the ac. curacy of this presumption I point you to the facts, that our Presidential chair lans been occupied for forty four out of filty-six years by slave-holders; that another has been recently elected to fill it for four more, over an opponent who was a slave-holder also; and that in the Federal offices and both Houses of Congress considerably more than a due proportion of those acknowledged to stand in the first rank are from the South. In this arena the intellects of the free and slave States meet in full and fair competition. Nature must have been unusually bountiful to us, or we have been at least reasonably assiduous in the cultivation of such gifts as she has bestowed-unless indeed you refer our supe. riority to moral qualities, which I am sure you will not. More wealthy we are not ; nor would mere wealth avail in such rivalry.

The picty of the South is unobtrusive. We think it proves but little, though it is a confident thing for a man to claim that he stands higher in the estimation of his Creator, and is less a sinner, than his neighbor. If vocifera. tion is to carry the question of religion, the North and probably the Scotch have it. Our sects are few, harmonious, pretty much united among them. selves, and pursue their avocations in humble peace. In fact, our professors of Religion seem to think-whether correctly or not-that it is their duty "to do good in secret" and to carry their holy comforts to the heart of each indivi. dual, without reference to class or color, for his special enjoyment, and not with a view to exhibit their zeal before the world. So far as numbers are concerned, I believe our clergymen, when called on to make a showing, have never had occasion to blush, if comparisons were drawn between the free and slave States. And although our presses do not teem with controversial pamphlets, nor our pulpits shake with excommunicating thunders, the daily walk of our religious communicants furnishes apparently as little food for gossip as is to be found in most other regions. It may be regarded as a mark of our want of excitability--though that is a quality accredited to us in an eminent degreethat few of the remarkable religious $I$ sms of the present day have taken root among us. We have been so irreverent as to laugh at Mormonism and Mil. lerism, which have created such commotions farther North; and modern Prophets have no honor in our country. Shakers, Rappists, Dunkers, So. cialists, Fourrierists and the like keep themselves afar off. Even Puseyism has not yet moved us. You may attribute this to ou: Domestic Slavery if you choose. I believe you would do so justly. There is no material here for such characters to operate upon.

But your grand charge is that licentiousness in intercourse between th sexes is a prominent trait of our social system, and that it necessarily arises from slavery. This is a favorite theme with the Abulitionists, male and fe-
male. Folios have been written on it. It is a common observation, that there is no subject on which ladies of eminent virtue so much delight to dwell, and on which in especial learned old maids like Miss Martineau linger with such an insatiable relish. They expose it in the Slave States with the most min. ute observance and endless iteration. Miss Martineau, with peculiar gust, relates a series of scandalous stories which would have made Boccacio jealous of her pen, but which are so ridiculously false as to leave no doubt that some wicked wag, knowing she would write a book, has furnished her mate. rials-a game too often played on Tourists in this country. The constant recurrence of the female Abolitionists to this topic, and their bitterness in regard to it, cannot fail to suggest to even the most charitable mind, that

## "Such rage without betrays the fires within."

Nor are their immaculate coadjutors of the other sex, though perhaps less specific in their charges, less violent in their denunciations. But recently in your Island a clergyman has, at a public meeting, stigmatized the whole Slave region as a "Brothel." Do these people thus cast stones being "with. out sin"? Or do they only

> "Compound for sins they are inclined to By damning those they have no mind to."

Alas that David and Solomon should be allowed to repose in peace-that Leo ghould be almost canonized, and Luther more than sainted-that in our own day courtezans should be formally licensed in Paris, and tenements in London rented for years to women of the town for the benefit of the Church, with the knowledge of the Bishop-and the poor Slave States of America alone pounced upon and offered up as a holocaust on the Altar of Inmacu. lateness to atone for the abuse of natural instinct by all mankind; and if not actually consumed, at least exposed, anathematized and held up to scorn, by those who

> Or with a Rival's or an Eunuch's spite."

But I do not intend to admit that this charge is just or true. Without meaning to profess uncommon modesty, I will say that I wish the topic could be avoided. I am of opinion, and I doubt not every right-minded man will concur, that the public exposure and discussion of this vice, even to rebuke, invariably does more harm than good; and that if it cannot be checked by instilling pure and virtuous sentiments, it is far worse than useless to attempt to do it, by exhibiting its deformities. I may not, however, passit over; nor ought I to feel any deliency in examining a question to which the Slave-holder: is invited and challenged by Clergymen and Virgins. So far from allowing, then, that licentiousness pervades this region, I broadly assert, and I refer to the records of our Courts, to the public press, and to the knowledge of all
who have ever lived here, that among our white population, there are fewer cases of divorce, separation, crim con, scduction, rape and bastardy, than among any other five millions of people on the civilized earth. And this fact I believe will be conceded by the Abolitionists of this country themselves. I am almost willing to refer it to them and submit to their decision on it. I would not hesitate to do so if I thought them capable of an impartial judg. ment on any matter where Slavery is in question. But it is said that the li. centiousuess consists in the constant intercourse between white males and colored females. Onc of your heary charges against us has been that we regard and treat these people as brutes; you now charge us with habitualily taking them to our bosoms. I will not comment on the inconsistency of these accusations. I will not deny that some intercourse of the sort does take place. Its character and extent, however, are grossly and atrociously exaggerated. No authority divine or human has yet been found sufficient to arrest all such irregularities among men. But it is a known fact, that they are perpetrated here, for the most part, in the cities. Very few mulattoes are reared on our plantations. In the cities a large proportion of the inhabitants do not own slaves. A still larger proportion are natives of the North or fortigners. They should share, and justly, too, an equal part in this sin with the Slave-holders. Facts cannot be ascertained, or I doubt not it would appear that they are the chief offenders. If the truth be otherwise, then persons from abroad have stronger prejudices against the African race than we have. Be this as it may, it is well known that this intercourse is regarded in our socicty as highly disreputable. If carried on habitually it serious! y affects a man's standing, so far as it is known; and he who takes a colored mistress-with rare and extraordinary exceptions-loses caste at once. You will say that one exception should damin our whole country. How much less criminal is it to take a white mistress? In your eyes it should be at least an equal offence. Yet look around you at home, from the cottage to the throne, and count liow many mistresses are kept in unblushing notoriety, without any loss of caste. Such cases are almost unknown here, and down even to the lowest walks of life it is almost invariably fatal to a man's position and prospects to keep a mistress openly, whether white or black. What Miss Martineau relates of a young man's purchasing a colored concubine from a lady and avowing his designs, is too absurd even for contradiction. No person would dare to allude to such a subject in such a manner to any decent female in this comntry. If he did, he would be lynched-donbtless with your appro. bation.

After all, however, the number of the mixed breed in proportion to that of the black is infinitely small, and out of the towns next to nothing. And when it is considered that the African race has been among us for two hundred years,
und that those of the mixed breed continually intermarry-often rearing large families-it is a decided proof of our continence that so few comparatively are to be found. Our misfortunes are two-fold. From the prolifie propagation of these mongrels among themselves, we are liable to be charged by tourists with delinquencies where none have been committed, while, where one has been, it cannot be concealed. Color marks indelibly the offence, and reveals it to every eye. Conceive that, even in your virtuous and polished country, if every bastard through all the circles of your social system was thus branded by nature and known to all, what shocking developments might there not be! How little indignation night your saints have to spare for the licentiousness of the slave region. But I have done with this disgnsting topic. And I think I may justly conclude, after all the scandalous charges which tea-table gossip and long-gowned hypocrisy have brought against the slave-holders, that a people whose men are proverbially brave, intellectual and hospitable, and whose women are unaffeetedly ehaste, devoted to domestic lile and happy in it, ean neither be degraded nor demoralized, whatever their institutions may be. My decided opinion is, that our system of Slavery contributes largely to the developement and culture of these high and noble qualities.

In an economical point of view-which I will not omit-Slavery presents some difficulties. As a general rule, I agree it must be admitted, that free labor is cheaper than Slave labor. It is a fallacy to suppose that ours is unpaid labor. The slave himself must be paid for, and thus his labor is all purchased at once, and for no trifling sum. His price was in the first place paid mostly to your countrymen, and assisted in building up some of those colussal English fortures since illustrated by patents of nobility, and splendid piles of architecture, stained and cemented, if you like the expression, with the blood of kidnapped innocents; but loaded with no heavier curses than Abolition and its begotten fanaticisms have brought upon your land--some of them fulfilled, some yet to be. But besides the first cost of the slave, he must be fed and clothed-well fed and well clothed, if not for liumanity's sake, that he may do good work, retain health and life, and rear a family to supply his place. When old or sick he is a clear expense, and so is the helpless portion of his family. No poor law provides for him when unable to work, or brings up his children tor our service when we need them. These are all heary charges on slave labor. Hence, in all countrics where the denseness of the population has reduced it to a matter of perfect certainty that labor ean be obtained whenever wanted, and the laborer be forced by shcer necessity to hire for the smallest pittance that will keep soul and body together and rags upon his back while in actual employment-dependent at all other times on alms or poor rates-in all such countries it is found cheaper to pay this pittance than to cluthe, feed, nurse, support through ehildhood and pension in old age a race of
slaves. Indeed, the advantage is so great as speccily to compensate for the loss of the value of the slave. And I have no hesitation in saying that if I could cultivate my lands on these terms I would without a word resign my slaves, provided they could be properly disposed of. But the question is, whether free or slave labor is cheapest to us in this country at this time, situated as we are. And it is decided at once by the fact that we cannot ayail ourselves of any other than slave labor. We nether haye nor can we pro. cure other labor to any extent, or on anything like the terns mentioned. We must therefure content ourselves with our dear labor, under the consoling reflection that what is lost to $u s$, is gained to humanity ; and that inasmuch as our slave costs us more than your free man costs you, hy so much is he better off. You will promptly say, cinancipate your slaves, and then you will have free labor on suitable terms. That might bo if there were five hundred where there now is one, and the contiment. from the Athatic to the Pacific, was as densely populated as your Lilaud. But until tirat comes to pasa no labor can be procured in America on the terms you have it.

While I thus freely admit that to the individual proprictor slaye labor is dearer than free, I do not mean to admit it as equally clear that it is dearer to the eommunity and to the State. Though it is cerfain that the slave is a far greater consumer than yur laborer the year round, yc: your pauper systom is costly and wasteful. Supported by your community at larof, it is no: administered by your hired agents with that interested care and cconomy-not to speak of humanity-which mark the management of ours by each proprietor for his own non-effectives; and is both more expensive to those who pay, and less beneficial to those who receive its bounties. Besides this, Slavery is rapidly filling up our country with a hardy and hev!thy race peenliarly adapted to our climate and productions, and conferring signal political and social advantages on us ats a people to whic: i have already referret.

I have vet to reply to the maingroud oa which you and your coadutura rely for the overthrow of our system of shaver. Pailing in ali your at te $n$ pts to prove that it is sinfu! in its bature inmoral in its cffectss a politicai evil, and profitess to thos who mantain it, you mpeal to the syapathies of mankind, and attempt to arouse the wild agatinst as by the mast shocking charges of tyrany and eruelty. Iou begin by a whement denuaciation of "the irresponsible pewer of one man ower his fillow men" The question of the resprositility of perver is a vat one. It is the grat palitical ques. tion of modern times. Whale amtions divide off upon it and establis! dif ferent fundrmental sy:ums of govermm at. That "responsiblits." which to one set of millions seams amply smficient to check the gevembent fo the support of which they devote their lives and fortunes, appaurs (i) another set of millions a mere m.ckery of restraint. Aud accordingly ws tho opiaions
of these millious differ, they honor each other with the epithets of "Serfs" or "Anarehists." It is ridiculous to introduce such an idea as this into the discussion of a mere Domestic Institution. But sinee you have introduced it, I deny that the power of the slave-holder in America is "irresponsible." He is responsible to God. He is responsible to the world-a responsibility which Abolitionists do not intend to allow him to evade-and in acknowledgment of which I write you this letter. He is responsible to the commmity in which he lives, and to the laws under which he enjoys his civil rights. Those laws do not permit him to kill, to maim, or to punish beyond certain limits, or to overtask, or to refuse to feed and clothe his slave. In short, they forbid him to be tyrannical or cruel. If any of these laws have grown obsolute, it is because they are so seldom violated that they are forgoten. You have disinterred one of then from a compilation by some Judge Stroud of Pluladelphia, to stigmatize its inadequate penalties for killing, maiming, SEc. Your object appears to be-you can have no other--to produce the impres. sion that it must be often violated on account of its insufficiency. You say us much, and that it marks our estimate of the slave. You forget to state that this law was enacted iy Englishmen, and only indicates their opinion of the reparation due for these offences. Ours is proved by the fact, though perhaps unknown to Judge Stroud or yourself, that we have essentially al. tered this law; and the murder of a slave has for many years been punish. able with death in this State. And so it is, I believe, in most or all the slave States. You seem well aware, however, that laws have been recently passed in all these States making it penal to teach slaves to read. Do you know what occasioned their passage, and renders their stringent enforcement necessary? I can tell you. It was the Abolition agitation. If the slave is not allowed to read his Bible, the sin rests upon the Abolitionists; for they stand prepared to furnish hin with a key to it, which would make it, not a Book of hope and love and peace, bnit of despair, hatred and blood; which would convert the reader, not into a Christian, but a Demon. To preserve him from such a horrid destiny, it is a sacred duty which we owe to our slaver, not less than to ourselves, to interpose the most decisive means. If the Ca tholics deem it wrong to trust the Bible to the hands of ignorance, shall we be excommunicated because we will not give it, and with it the cowrupt and fatal commentaries of the Abolitiomsts, to our slaves? Allow our slaves to read your pamphlets, stimulating them t:) cut our throsts! Can you believe us to be such unspeakable fools?

I do not know that I can subscribe in full to the sentime.nt so ofen quoted by the Abolitionists, and by Mr. Dicinson in his letter to me: "Hono sum et nihil humanum a me aliemum puto," as translated and practically illustrated by them. Such a doctrine would give wide authority to every one for th:e
most dangerous intermeddling with the affairs of others. It will do in poe. try-perhaps in some sorts of Philosophy-but the attempt to make it a household maxim, and introduce it into the daily walks of life, has caused many an "Homo" a broken crown; and probably will continue to do it. Still, though a slave.holder, I freely acknowledge my obligations as a man ; and that I am bound to treat humanely the fellow creatures whom God has entrusted to my charge. I feel therefore somewhat sensitive under the accusation of cruelty, and disposed to defend myself and fellow slave-holders against it. It is certainly the interest of all, and I am convinced that it is also the desire of every one of us, to treat our slaves with proper kindness. It is necessary to our deriving the greatest amount of profit from them. Of this we are all satisfied. And you snatch from us the only consolation we Americans could derive from the opprobious imputation of being wholly devoted to making money, which your disinterested and gold-despising countrymen delight to cast upon us, when you nevertheless declare that we are ready to sacrifice it for the pleasure of being inhuman. You remember that Mr. Pitt could never get over the idea that self-interest would insure kind treatment to slaves, until you told him your woful stories of the Middle Passage. Mr. Pitt was right in the first instance, and erred, under your tuition, in not perceiving the difference between a temporary and permanent ownership of them. Slaveholders are no more perfect than other men. They have passions. Some of them, as you may suppose, do not at all times restrain them. Neither do husbands, parents and friends. And in each of these relations as serious sufferings as frequently arise from uncontrolled passions as ever does in that of Master and Slave, and with as little chance of indemnity. Yet you would not on that account break them up. I have no hesitation in saying that our slave-holders are as kind masters, as men usually are kind husbands, parents and friends-as a general rule, kinder. A bad master-he who overworks his slaves, provides ill for them, or treats them with undue severity-loses the esteem and respect of his fellow citizens to as great an extent as he would for the violation of any of his social and most of his moral obligations. What the most perfect plan of management would be is a problem hard to solve. From the commencement of Slavery in this country, this subject has occupied the minds of all slave-holders, as much as the improvement of the gen. eral condition of mankind has those of the most ardent Philanthropists; and the greatest progressive amelioration of the system has been effected. You yourself acknowledge that in the early part of your carcer you were exceedingly anxious for the immediate abolition of the Slave Trade, lest those engaged in it should so mitigate its evils as to destroy the force of your arguments and facts. The improvement you then dreaded has gone on steadily here, and would doubtless have taken place in the Slave 'Trade but for the measures adopted to suppress it.

Of late years we have been not only annoyed, but greatly embarrassed in this matter, by the Abolitionists. We have been compelled to curtail some privileges; we have been debarred from granting new ones. In the face of diseussions which aim at loosening all ties between master and slave, we have in some measure to abandon our efforts to attach them to us and control them through their affections and pride. We have to rely more and more on the power of fear. We must in all our intercourse with them assert and maintain strict mastery, and impress it on them that they are Slaves. This is painful to us, and certainly no present advantage to them. But it is the direct consequence of the Abolition agitation. We are determined to continue Mas. ters, and to do so we have to draw the rein tighter and tighter day by day to be assured that we hold them in complete check. How far this process will go on depends wholly and solely on the Abolitionists. When they desist we can relax. We may not before. I do not mean by all this to say that we are in a state of actual alarm and fear of our slaves ; but under existing circumstances we should be ineffibly stupid not to increase our vigilance and strengthen our hands. You see some of the fruits of your labors. I speak freely and candidly-not as a colonist who, though a slaveholder has a mas. ter; but as a free white man, holding, under God, and resolved to hold, my fate in my own hands ; and I assure you that my sentiments and feelings and determinations are those of every slaveholder in this country.

The research and ingenuity of the Abolitionists, aided oy the invention of runaway slaves-in which faculty, so far as improvising falsehood goes, the African Race is without a rival--have succeeded in shocking the world with a small number of pretended intances of our barbarity. The only wonder is that, considering the extent of our country, the variety of our population, its fluctuating character, and the publicity of all our transactions, the number of cases collected is so small. It speaks well for us. Yet of these many are false, all highly colored, some occurring half a century, most of them many years, ago ; and no doubt a large proportion of them perpetrated by foreigners. With a few rare exceptions the emigrant Scotch and English are the worst masters among us, and next to them our Northern fellow-citizens. Slaveholders born and bred here are always more humane to slaves, and those who have grown up to a large inheritance of them, the most so of anyshowing clearly that the effect of the system is to foster kindly feelings. I do not mean so much to impute innate inhumanity to foreigners, as to show that that they come here with false notions of the treatment usual and necessary for slaves, and that newly acquired powerhere, as every where else, is apt to be abused. I cannot enter into a detailed examination of the cases stated by the Abolitionists. It would be disgusting and of little avail. I know nothing of them. I have seen nothing like them, though born and
bred here, and have rarely heard of anything at all to be compared with them. Permit me to say that I think most of your facts must have been drawn from the West Indies, where undoubtedly slaves were treated much more harshly than with us. This was owing to a variety of causes, which might, if necessary, be stated. One was that they had at first to deal more extensively with barbarians fresh from the wilds of Africa; another, and a leading one, the absentecism of Proprietors. Agents are always more unfeeling than owners, whether placed over West Indian or American slaves, or Irish Tenantry. We feel this evil greatly even here. You describe the use of thumb screws as one inode of punishment among us. I doubt if a thumb screw ean be found in America. I never saw or heard of one in thiscountry. Stocks are rarely used by private individuals, and confinement still more seldom, though both are common punishments for whites, all the world over. I think they should be more frequently resorted to with slaves, as substitutes for flogging, which I consider the most injurious and least efficacious mode of punishing them for serious offences. It is not degrading, and unless excessive occasions little pain. You may be a little astonished, after all the flourishes that have been made about "cart whips," \&c., when I say flogging is not the most degrading punishment in the world. It may be so to a white man in most countries, but how is it to the white boy? That necessary coadjutor of the school-master the "birch" is never thought to have rendered infamous the unfortunate victim of pedagogue ire ; nor did Solomon in his wisdom dream that he was counseling parents to debase their offspring, when he exhorted them not to spoil the child by sparing the rod. Pardon me for recurring to the now exploded ethics of the Bible. Custom, which, you will perhaps agree, makes most things in this world good or evil, has removed all infamy, from the punishment of the lash to the slave. Your blood boils at the recital of stripes inflicted on a man; and you think you should be frenzied to see your own child flogged. Yet sce how completely this is ideal, arising from the fashions of society. You doubtless submitted to the rod yourself, in other years, when the smart was perhaps as severe as it would be now ; and you have never been guilty of the folly of revenging yourself on the Preceptor who in the plenitude of his "irresponsible power" thought proper to chastise your son. So it is with the negro, and the negro father.

As to chains and irons, they are rarely used; never I believe except in cases of running away. You must admit that if we pretend to own slaves they must not be permitted to abscond whenever they see fit; and that if nothing else will prevent it these means must be resorted to. Sce the inhumanity necessarily arising from slavery, you will exclaim. Are such restraints impos 2 d on no other class of people giving no more offence? Look to your army and navy. If your scamen, impressed from their peaceful occupations,
and your soldiers, recruited at the gin shops-both of them as much kidnappod as the most unsuspecting victim of the Slave Trade, and doored to a far more wretched fatc-if these men manifest a propensity to desert, the heaviest manael.s are their mildest putishment: It is most commonly death, after summary trial. But armies and navies you say are indispensable, and must be kept up at every sacrifice. I answer that they are no more indispensable than slavery is to us-and to you; fur you have enough of it in your country, though the form and name differ from ours.

Deprend upon it that many things, and in regard to our slaves, most things which appear revolting at a distance, and to slight reflection, would on a nearer view and impartial comparison with the customs and conduct of the rest of man. kind, strike you in a very different light. Remember that on our cstates we dispense with the whole machincry of public police and public Courts of Justice. Thus we try, decide and execute the sentences, in thousands of eases, which in other countries would go into the Courts. Hence, most of the acts of our alleged cruelty, which have any foundation in truth. Whether our Patriarchal mode of administering justice is less humane than the Assizes can only be determined by carcful inquiry and comparison. But this is never done by the Abolitionists. All our punishments are the outrages of "irresponsible power." If a man steals a pig in England he is transported--torn from wife, children, parents, and sent to the Antipodes, infimous, and an outcast forever, though perhaps he took from the superabundance of his neighbor to save the lives of his famishing little ones. If one of our well fed negrocs, merely for the stike of fresh meat, steals a pig, he gets perhaps forty stripes. If one of your Cottagers breaks into another's house, he is hung for burglary. If a slave docs the same here, a few lashes, or perhaps a few hours in the stocks, settles the matter. Are our Courts or yours the most humane? If slavery were not in question you would doubtless say ours is mistaken lenity. Perhrps it often is; and slaves too lightly dealt with sometimes grow daring. Occasionally, though rarely, and almost always in consequence of excessive indulfgence, an individual rebels. This is the highest crime he can commit. It is treason. lt strikes at the root of our whole system. His life is justly forfeited, though it is never intentionally taken, unless after trial in our Public Conrts. Sometimes, however, in capturing, or in self-defence, he is unfortunately killed. A legal investigation always follows. But, terminate as it may, the Abolitionists raise a hue and cry, and another "shocking casc" is held up to the indignation of the world by tender-hearted male and female Philanthropists, who would have thought all right had the master's throat been cut, and would have triumphed in it.

I cannot go into a detailed comparison between the penalties inflicted on a slave in our Patriarchal Courts, and those of the Courts of Sessions to which
freemen are sentenced in all civilized nations; but I know well that if there is any fault in our eriminal code, it is that of excessive mildness.

Perhaps a few general facts will best illustrate the treatment this race receives at our hands. It is acknowledged that it increases at least as rapidly as the white. I believe it is an established principle, that population thrives in proportion to its comforts. But when it is considered that these people are not recruited by immigration from abroad as the whites are, and that they are usually settled on our riehest and least healthy lands, the fact of their equal comparative increase and greater longevity, outweighs a thousand Abolition falschoods, in favor of the leniency and providence of our management of them. It is also admitted that there are incomparably fewer eases of insanity and suicide among them than among the whites. The fact is, that annong the slaves of the African race these things are aimost wholly unknown. However frequent suicide may have been among those brougla from Africa, I can say that in my time I cannot remember to have known or heard of a single instance of deliberate self.destruction, and but of one of suicide at all. As to insanity, I have seen but one permanent case of it, and that twenty years ago. It cannot be doubted that among three millions of people there must be some insane and some suicides; but I will venture to say that more eases of both occur annually among every hundred thousand of the population of Great Britain than among all our slaves. Can it be possible, then, that they exisi in that state of :bjeet misery, goaded by constant injuries, outraged in their affections and worn down with hardships, which the Abolitionists depict, and so many ignorant and thoughtess persons religiously believe ?

With regard to the separation of husbauds and wives, parents and children. nothing can be more untrue than the inferences drawn from what is so constantly harped on by Abolitionists. Some painful instances perhaps may occur. Very few that can be prevented. It is and it always has been an object of prime consideration with our slaveholders to keep families together. Negroes are themselves both perverse and comparatively indifferent about this matter. It is a singular trait, that they almost invariably prefer forming connexions with slaves belonging to other masters, andat some distance. It is therefore impossible to prevent separations sometimes, by the removal of one owner, his death, or failure, and dispersion of his property. In all sucts cases, however, every reasonable effort is made to keep the parties together, if they desire it. And the negroes forming these connexions, knowing the chances of their premature dissolution, rarely complain more than we all do of the inevitable strokes of fate. Sumetimes it happens that a negro prefurs to give up his family rather than separate from his master. I have known such instances. As to willfully selling off a husband or wife or child, I believe it is rarely, very rarely done, except when some offence has been committed de-
manding "transportation." At sales of Estates and even at Sheriffs' sales, they are always, if possible, sold in farmilies. On the whole, notwithstanding the migratory character of our population, I believe there are inore families among our slaves, who have lived and died together without losing a single member from their circle, except by the process of nature, and in the enjoyment of constant, uninterrupted communion, than have flourished in the same space of time and among the same number of civilized people in modern times. And to sum up all, if pleasure is correctly defined to be the absence of pain-which so far as the great body of mankind is concerned, is undoubtedly its true definition-I believe our slaves are the happiest three millions of humain beings on whom the sun shines. Into their Eden is eoming Satan in the guise of an Abolitionist.

As regards their religious condition, it is well known that a majority of the communicants of the Methodist and Baptist churches of the South are colored. Almost everywhere they have precisely the same opportunitics of attending worship that the whites have, and besides, special occasions for themselves exclusively, which they prefer. In many places not so accessible to clergymen in ordinary, Missionaries are sent, and mainly supported by their masters, for the particular benefit of the slaves. There are none I imagine who may not, if they like, hear the Gospel preached at least once a month-most of them twice a month, and very many every week. In our thinly settled country the whites fare no better. But in addition to this, on plantations of any size the slaves who have joined the church are formed into a class, at the head of which is placed one of their number, acting as deacon or leader, who is also sometimes a licensed preacher. This class assembles for religious exercises weekly, semi-weekly, or oftener, if the members choose. In some parts also Sunday schools for blacks are established, and Bible classes are orally instructed by discreet and pious persons. Now where will you find a laboring population possessed of greater religious advantages than these? Not in London, I amsure, where it is known that your Churches, Chapels and Religious Meeting Houses, of all sorts, cannot contain one-half of the inhabitants.

I have admitted, without hesitation, what it would be untrue and profitless to deny, that slave-holders are responsible to the world for the humane treatment of the fellow-beings whom God has placed in their hands. I think it would be only fair for you to admit, what is equally undeniable, that every man in independent circumstanees, all the world over, and every Government, is to the same extent responsible to the whole human family, for the condition of the poor and laboring classes in their own country and around them, wherever they may be placed, to whom God has denied the advantages he has given themselves. If so, it would naturally seem the duty of true hu-
manity and rationol philanthropy to devote their time and labor, their thoughts, writings and charity, first to the objects plaecd as it were under their own immediate charge. And it must be regarded as a clear evasion and sinful neglect of this eardinal duty, to pass from those whose destitute situation they can plainly sce, minutely examine and efficiently relieve, to enquire after the condition of others in no way entrusted to their care, to exaggerate evils of which they cannot be cognizant, to expend all their sympathies and cxhaust all their energieson these remute objeets of their umatural, not to say dangerous, bencrolence; and finally, to calumniate, denounce and endeavor to excite the indignation of the world against therr unoffending fellow.ereatures for not hastening under their dictation to redress wrongs which are stoutly and truthfully denied, while they themselves go but little faither in alleviating those chargeable on them than openly and unbhshingly to aeknowledge them. There may be indeed a sort of merit in doing so mueh as to make such an acknowledgement, but it must be very modest if it expects appreciation.

Now I affirm that in Great Britain the poor and laboring classes of your own race and color, not only your fellow-bcings, but your fellow.cilizens, are more m serable and degraded, morally and physically, than our slaves; to be elevated to the actual condition of whom, would be to these your fellow-citizens a most glorious act of emancipation. And I also affirm, that the poor and laboring classes of our older Free States would not be in a much more enviable condition but for our slavery. One of their own Senators has deelared in the United States Senate, "that the repeal of the Tariff would reduce New-England to a howling wilderness." And the American 'Tarifl' is neither more nor less than a system by which the slave States are plundered for the benzfit of those States which do not tolerate slavery.

To prove what I say of Great Britain to be true, I make the following extracts from the Reports of Commissioners appointed by Parliament, and published by order of the House of Commons. I ean make but few and short ones. But similar quotations might be made to any extent, and 1 defy you to deny that these specimens exhibit the real condition of your operatives in every branch of your industry. There is of course a varicty in their sufferings. But the same incredible amount of toil, frightul destitution, and utter want of morals, characterize the lot of every class of them.

Collieries. "! wish to eall the attention of the Board to the pits about Brampton. The seams are so thin that several of them have only two feet head-way to all the working. They are wo:ked altogether by boys from 8 to 12 years of age, on all-fours, with a dog belt and chain. 'The passages being neither ironed nor wooded and often an inch or two thick with mud. In Mr. Barnes' pit these poor boys have to drag the barrows with one ewt. of coal or slack 60 times a day 60 yards, and the empty barrows back, without
once straightening their backs, unless they choose to stand under the shaft and run the risk of having their heads broken by a falling coal."-Rep. en Mines, $1842, p$. 71. "In Shropshire the seams are no more than 18 or 20 inches."—Ibid, p.67. "At the Broth pit," says Mr. Scriven, "I walked, rode and erept 1800 yards to one of the nearest faces."-Itid. "Chokedamp," Fircdamp," "Wild fire," "Suphur" and "Water" at all times men. ace instant death to the laborers in these mines." "Robert North, aged 16 : Went into the pit at 7 years of age, to fill up skips. I drew about 12 months. When I drew by the girdle and chain my shin was broken, and the blood ran down. I durst not say anything. If we said anything the butty, and the reeve, who works under him, would take a stick and beat us." - Ibid. "The usual punishment for theft is to place the cul mit's head between the legs of one of the biggest boys, and cach boy in the pit-sometimes there are 20inflicts 12 lashes on the back and runp with a cat."-Ibid. "Instances occur in which children are taken into these mines to work as early as 4 years of age, sometimes at 5 , not unfrequently at 6 and 7 , while from 8 to 9 is the ordinary age at which these employments commence."-Ibid. The wages paid at these mines is from $\$ 2,50$ to $\$ 7,50$ per month for laborers, according to age and ability, and out of this they must support themselves. They work 12 hours a day.-Ibid.

In Calico printing. "It is by no means uncommon in all the districts for children 5 or 6 years old to be kept at work 14 to 16 hours consecutively." Rep. on Children, 1842, p. 59.

I could furnish extracts similar to these in regard to every branch of your Manufactures, but I will not multiply them. Every body knows that your operatives habitually labor from 12 to 16 hours, men, women and children, and the men occasionally 20 hours per day. In lace making, says the last quuted Report, children sometimes commence work at 2 years of age.

Destitution.-It is stated by your Commissioners that 40,000 persons in Liverpool, and 15,000 in Manchester, live in cellars; while 22,000 in England pass the night in barns, tents, or the open air. "There have been found such occurrences as 7, 8 and 10 persons in one cottage, I cannot say for one day, but for whole days, without a morsel of food. They have remained on their beds of straw for two successive days, under the impression that in a recumbent posture the pangs of hunger were less felt." Lord Brougham's speech, 11th July, 1842. A volume of frightful scenes might be quoted to corroborate the inferences to be necessarily drawn from the ficts bere stated. I will not add more, but pass on to the important inquiry as to

Morals and Education.-"Elizabeth Barretl, aged 14: I always work without stockings, shoes or trowsers. I wear nothing but a shift. I have to go up to the headings with the men. They are all naked there. I am got
used to that." Report on Mines. "As to illicit sexual intercourse it seems to prevail universally and from an early period of lifc." "The evidence might have been doubled which attest the early commencement of sexual and promiscuous intercourse among boys and girls." "A lower condition of morals, in the fullest sense of the term, could not I think be found. I do not mean by this that there are many more prominent vices among them, but that moral feelings and sentiments do not exist. They have no morals." "Their appearance, manners and moral natures--so far as the word moral can be applied to them-are in accorda:ace with their half-civilized condition' - Rep. on Children. "More than half a dozen instances occurred in Manchester, where a man, his wife and his wife's grown up sister, habitually occupied the same bed."--Rep. on Sanitary Conaition. Robert Cruchilozo aged 16: "I dont know anything of Moses-never heard of France. I I dont know what America is. Never heard of Scotland or Ireland. Cant tell how many weeks there are in a ycar. There are 12 pence in a shilling, and 20 shillings in a pound. There are eight pints in a gallon of ale."Rep. on Mines. Ann Eggly aged 18: "I walk about and get fresh air on Sundays. I never go to Chureh or Chapel. I never heard of Christ at all." Ibid. Others: "The Lord sent Adam and Eve on earth to save sinners." "I dont know who made the world, I never heard about God." "I dont know Jesus Christ-I never saw him-but I have seen Foster who prays about him." Employer: "You have expressed surprise at Thomas Mitchei's not hearing of God. I judge there are few Colliers here about that have." $I b i d$. I will quote no more. It is shocking beyond endurance to turn over your Records in which the condition of your laboring classes is but too faithfully depicted. Could our slaves but see it, they would join us in Lyuching Abolitionists, which, by the by, they would not now be loth to do. We ne. ver think of imposing on them such labor, either in amount or kind. We never put them to any work under ten, more generally at twelve years of age, and then the very lightest. Destitution is absolutely unknown-never did a slave starve in America; while in moral sentiments and feelings, in religious information, and even in general intelligence, they are infinitely the superiors of your operatives. When you look around you how dare you talk to us before the world of slavery? For the condition of your wretehed laborers, you, and every Briton who is not one of them, are responsible before God and Man. If you are really humane, philanthropic and charitable, here are objects for you. Relieve them. Emancipate them. Raise them from the condition of brutes, to the level of human beings—of American slaves, at least. Do not for an instam suppose that the name of being freemen is the slightest comfort to them, situated as they are, or that the bombastic boast that "whocver touches British soil stands redeemed, regenerated
and disenthralled," can meet with anything but the ridicule and contempt of mankind, while that soil swarms, both on and under its surface, with the most abject and degraded wretches that ever bowed beneath the oppressor's yoke.

I have said that slavery is an established and inevitable condition to human society. I do not speak of the name, but the fact. The Marquis of Normanby has lately deckared your operatives to be "in effect slaves." Can it be denied? Probably, for such Philanthropists as your Abolitionists care nothing for facts. They deal in terms and fictions. It is the word "slavery" which shocks their tender sensibilities; and their imaginations associate it with "hydras and chimeras dire." The thing itself, in its most hideous reality, passes daily under their view unheeded-a familiar face, touching no chord of shame, sympathy or indignation. Yet so brutalizing is your iron bondage that the English operative is a bye word through the world. When favoring fortunc enables him to escape his prison house, both in Europe and America he is shunned. With all the skill which 14 hours of daily labor from the tenderest age has ground into him, his discontent, which habit has made sccond nature, and his depraved propensities, running riot when freed from his wonted fetters, prevent his emphoyment whenever it is not a matter of necessity. If we derived no other benefit from African slavery in the Southern States than that it deterred your freedmen from coming hither, I should regard it as an inestimable blessing.

And how unaccountable is that philanthrophy, which closes its eyes upon such a statc of things as you have at home, and turns its blurred vision to our affairs beyond the Atlantic, meddling with matters which no way concern them-presiding, as you have lately done, at meetings to denounce the " iniquity of our laws" and "the atrocity (f our practices," and to sympathise with infamous wretches imprisoned here for violating decrees promulgated both by God and man. Is this doing the work of "your Father which is in Heaven," or is it seeking only "that you may have glory of man ?" Do you remember the denunciation of our Saviour, "Woc unto you, Scribes and Pharisees; Hypocrites! for ye make clean the outside of the cup and platter, bu: within they are full of extortion and excess."

But after all, supposing that everything you say of slavery be true, and its abolition a matter of the last necessity, how do you expect to effect emancipation, and what do you calculate will be the result of its accomplishment? As to the means to be used, the Abolitionists, I believe, affect to differ, a large proportion of them pretending that their sole purpose is to apply "moral suasion" to the Slave-holders themselves. As a matter of curiosity, I should like to know what their idea of this "moral suasion" is. Their discoursesyours is no exception-are all tirades, the exordium, argument and perora-
hon, turning on the epithets "tyrants" "thieves," "murderers," addressed to us. They revile us as "atrocious monsicrs," "violators of the laws of nature, Gul and man," our homes the abode oi every iniquity, our land a "brothel." Weretort, that they are "incendiarics" and "assassins." De. lightful argument! Sweet, potent "moral suasion!" What slave has it freed -what proselyte can it ever make? But if your course was wholly differentit you distilled nectar from your lips, and discoursed sweetest musie, could You icasonably indulge the hope of accomplishing your object by such means? Niay, supposing that we were all convinced, and thought of slavery precisely as jou do, at what era of "moral stasion" do you imagine yon could prewail on us to give up a thousand milliuns of dellars in the vaine of our slaves, and a thousand millions of dollars more in the depreciation of our lands, in consequence of the want of laborers to cultivate them? Consider: were ever any people, eivilized or savage persuaded by any algument, Human or Divine, to surrender voluntarily two thousand millions of dollars? Wouid you think of asking five millions of Engiishmen to contribute either at onee or gradually four hundred and fifty millions of pounds sterling to the cause of Philanthropy, cven if the purpose to be accomplished was not of doubtful grodness? If you are prepared to undertake such a scheme, try it at home. Corllect your fund-purchase our slaves, and do with them as you like. Be all the glory yours, fairly and honestly won. But you see the absurdity of such an itca. Away, then, with your pretended "moral suasion." You know it is mere nonsensc. The sbolitionisis have no faith in it thems lves. Those who expect to accomplish anything count on means altogether differ. cast. They aim first, to alarm us : that failing, to compel us by foree to cmancipate our slaves, at our own risk and cost. To these purposes they obvously direct all their eaergies. Our Northern Liberty men have endeavored to disseminate their destructive doctrines among our slaves, and excite them to insurrection. But we have put an end to that, and stricken terror into them. 'They dare not show their fuces here. Then they declar. ed they would dissolve the Union. Let them do it. The North would repent it far more than the South. We are not alarmed at the idea. We are well content to give up the Union sooner than sacrifice two thousand millions of dollars, and with them atl the rights we prize. You may take it for grant. ed that it is impossible to persuade or alarm us into cmancipation, or to mak. ing the first step towards it. Nothing, then, is left to try, but sheerforee. If the Ab:ditionists are prepared to expend their own treasure and shed their own blood as freely as they ask us to do ours, let them come. We do not court the conflict; but we will nut and we cannot shrenk from it. If they are not ready to go so far: if, as I exper, thair philanthrogy recoils from it: if they are looking only for cheap ghory, let them turn their thoughts elsewhere,
tond leave us in peace. Be the sin, the danger and the evils of slavery ail cur own. We compel, we ask none to share them with us.

I an well aware that a notable scheme has been set on fout to achicye abolirion by making what is by courtcsy called "free" labor so much cheaper than slave labor as to furee the abandomment of the latter. 'Though we are beginning to manefacture with slaves, I do not think you will attompt to pinch your operatives cleser in Great Britain. You camot curtail the rags with which they vainly attempt to cover their uakedness, nor reduce the porridge which barely, and not always, keeps those who have employment from peristhing of faminc. When you can do this, we will consider whether our slaves may not dispense with a pound or two of bacon per weok, or a fe.w garments annually: Lour aim, however, is to cheapen labor in the tropics. The idea of duing this by exporting your "bold yeemanry" is I prosume given up. Cromwell tried it when he sold the captured followers of Churles into West Indian slavery, where they speedily found grayes. Nor have your rocent experiments on British and even Dutels constitutions succeede d better. Have you still faith in ca:rying thither your Coolies from Hindostan? Doubtless that onee wild robber race, whose highest culogium was that they did not murder morely for the love of blood, have been tamed down, and are perhaps "keen for immigration," for since your civilization has reached it, plunder has grown scarce in Guzerat. But that is the result of the experiment thus far? Have the Coolies, ccasing to handle arms, learned to hundle spades, and proved hardy and profitablo laborers? On the contrary, broken in spirit aud stricken with discase at home, the wretched victims whom you have hitherto kiduapped for a bounty, confined in depots, put under hatches and carred across the ocean-f reed into "voluntary immigration," have done little but lie down and die on the pseudo soil of frecdom. At the end of fire years two-thirds, in some colonics a larger proportion, are no more! Humane and pious contrivance! 'To alleviate the fancied sufferings of the accursed posterity of Ham, you sacrifice by a cruel death two-thirds of the children of the blessed Siom-and demand the applause of Christians-tic blessing of Heaven! Ii this "cexprerinent" is to gy on, in Cod's name try your hand upon the Thugs. That other species of "Inmigration" to which you are resorting I will consider presently.

Bat what do you calculate will be the result of emancipation, by what ever means accomplisteed? You will probabiy point me, by way of answer, to the West indics-dyubticess to Antigui, the great boast of abolition. Admiting that it has succ ded there-which i will do for the sake of the a:-gument-do you hnow the reason of it? The true and only causes of whatever success has attended it in Antigua are, that the population was before crowded, and oll or mairly whll the arable land in cultivation. 'Tlie cinan-
cipated negrocs could not, many of them, get away if they desired; and knew not where to go, in case they did. They had practically no alternative but to remain on the spot; and remaining, they uust work on the terms of the Proprietors, or perish-the strong arm of the Mother Country forbidding all hope of seizing the Land for themselves. The Proprietors, well knowing that they could thus command labor for the merest necessities of life, which was much cheaper than maintaining the non-cffective as well as effective slaves in a style which decency and interest, it not I umanity required, willingly accepted half their value, and at once realized far more than the interest on the other half in the diminution of their expenses, and the reduced comforts of the freemen. One of your most illustrious Judges, who was also a profound and philosophical Ilistorian, has said "that Villeinage was not abolished, but went into decay in Englard." This was the process. This has been the process wherever (the name of) Villeinage or Slavery has been successfully abandoned. Slavery in fact "went into decay" in Antigua. I have admitted that under similar circumstances it might profitably cease herc-that is, profitably to the individual Proprietors. Give me half the value of my Slaves, and compel them to remain and labour on my plantation at 10 to 11 cents a day, as they do in Antigua, supporting themselves and families, and you shall have them to-morrow, and if you like dub them "free." Not to stickle, I would surrender them without price. No-I recall my words: My humanity revolts at the idea. I am attached to my Slaves, and would not have art or part in reducing them to such a condition. I deny, however, that Antigua, as a community, is or ever will be as prosperous under present circumstances, as she was before aboiition, though fully ripe for it. The faet is well known. The reason is that the African, if not a distinct, is an inferior Race, and never will effect, as it never has effected, as much in any other condition as in that of Slavery.

I know of no Slave-holder who has visited the West Indies since Slavery was abolished, and published his views of it. All our facts and opinions come through the friends of the experiment, or at least those not opposed to it. Taking these, even without allowance, to be true as stated, I do not see where the $A$ bolitionists find cause for exultation. The tables of exports, which are :he best evidences of the condition of a people, exhibit a woful fa!ling offexcused, it is true, by unprecedented droughts and hurricanes, to which their free labor seems unaccountably more subject than Slave-labour used to be. I will not go iuto detail. It is well known that a large proportion of British Legislation and expenditure, and that proportion still constantly increasing, is most anxiously devoted to repairing the monstrous error of emancipation. You are actually galvanizing your expiring Colonies. The truth, deduced from all the facts, was thus pithily stated by the London Quarterly Review, as lon§
ago as 1810: "None of the bencfits anticipated by mistaken good intentions have been realized, while every evil wished for by knaves and foreseen by the wise has been painful'y verified. The wild rashness of fanaticism has made the emaneipation of the Slaves equivalent to the loss of one half of the West Indies, and yet put back the chance of Negro civilization." (Art. Lel. Dutley's Letters.) Such are the real fruits of your wer-to-be-too-much-gुlorified abolition, and the valaable dividend of your twenty millions of pounds sterling invested therein.

If any farther proof was wanted of the utter and weil knowin though not yet openly avowed failure of West Indian emancipation, it would be furnishod by the startling fact, that the African Slave Trade has been actuahly hevifed under the auspices and protectlon of tie British (iuvernmient. Under the specious guise of "Inmigration" they are replenishing those litands with Slaves from the Const of Africa. Your colony of Sierra Leone, foundud on that enast to prevent the Slave Trade, and peopled by the bye in the first instanee by negroes stolen from these States during the Revolutionary War, is the Depot where captives taken from Slavers by your armed vessels are transported. I might say returued, since nearly half the Africans curried across the Atlantic are understood to be embarked in this vicinity. The wretehed survivors, who are there set at liberty, are immediately seduced to "immigrate" to the West Indies. The business is systematically carried on by Black "Delegates," sent expressly from the West Iadies, where on arrival the "immigrants" are sold into Slavery for twenty-one years, under conditions ridiculously trivial and wickedly void, since few or none will ever be able to derive any advantage from them. The whole prime of life thas passed in bondage, it is contemplated, and doabtless it will be earried into effec:, t: turn them out in their old age to shift for themselves, and to supply their places wath fresh and vigorous "Immigrants." Was ever a system of Slavery se barbarous devised before? Can you think of comparing ii with ours? liven your own Religious Missionaries at Sierra Leone denounce it "as worse than the Sluve state in Africa." Aud your Black Delegates, fearful of the influence of these Missionaries, ats well as on account of the inadr guate s pply of Captives, are now preparing to procure the able bodich and conparatively industrious Kroomen of the interior. by parchasing from their Hearinch d:e priviletge of inveigling them to the West India market! S ends tiou magnificent farce-prerhaps I shoutd soy tragedy, of Weat India Abolition! I will not harrow your feelingr; by asking you in review the labors of sour itie and wht me what you and your brother Enibustasts have accomphohed for "injured Africa," but while agre eing wih lord Stowell, that "Ville:age d caycol," and afmiting that Slavery might do so also, I think I am full: jestiffed by pacsed and passing evonts in saying, as Mr. Crosrenor suid of the S3abe Trad, that its abolition is "impossible."

You are greatly mistaken, however, if you think that the consequences of emancipation here, would be similar and no more injurious than those which followed from it in your little sea-girt West India Islands, where nearly all were blacks. The system of slavery is not in "decay" with us. It flourishes in full and growing vigor. Our country is boundless in extent. Dotted here and there with villages and fields, it is for the most part cov. ered with immense forests and swamps of almost unknown size. In such a country, with a people so restless as ours, communicating of course some of that spirit to their domestics, can you conceive that anything short of the power of the master over the slave, could confine ti.e African race, notoriously idle and improvident, to labor on our plantations? Break this bond, but for a day, and these plantations will be solitudes. The negro loves change, novelty and sensual excitements of all kinds, when avake. "Reason and order," of which Mr. Wileerforce said "liberty was the child," do not characterize him. Released from his present obligations his first impulse would be to go somewhere. And here no natural boundaries would restrain him. At first they would all seek the towns, and rapidly accumulate in squalid groups upon their outskirts. Driven thence by the "armed police" which would immediately spring into existence, they would scatter in all directions.Some bodies of them might wander towards the "free" States, or to the western wilderness, marking their tracks by their depredations and their corpses. Many would roam wild in our "Big woods." Many more would seek the recesses of our swamps for secure covert. Few, very few of them could be prevailed on to do a stroke of work, none to labor continuously, while a head of cattlc, sheep or swine could be found in our ranges, or an ear of corn nodded in our abandoned fields. These exhausted, our folds and poul. try yards, barns and store-houses would become their prey. Finally, cur scattercd dwellings would be plundered, perhaps fired and the inmates murdered. How long do you suppose that we could bear these things? How long would it be befure we should sleep with rifles at our bedsides, and never move without one in our hands? This work once begun, let the story of our British ancestors and the aborigines of this country tell the soquel. Far more rapid however, would be the catastrophe. "Ere many moons went by," the African race would be exterminated, or reduced again to slavery, their ranks recruited, after your example, by fresh "Emigrants" from their father land.

Is timely preparation and gradual emancipation suggested to avert these horrible consequences? I thought your experience in the West Indies had at least done so much as to explode that idea. It it failed there, much more would it fail here, where the two races, approximating to equality in numbers, are daily and hourly in the closest contact. Give room for but
a single spark of real jealousy to be kindled between them, and the explosion would be instantaneous and aniversal. It is the most fatal of all fallacies to suppose that these two races can exist together, after any length of time or any process of preparation, on terms at all approaching to equality. Of this, both of them are finally and fixedly convinced. They differ essentially, in all the leading traits which characterize the varieties of the human species, and color draws an indelible and insuperabie line of separation between them. Every scheme founded upon the idea that they can remain together on the same soil, beyond the briefest period, in any other relation than precisely that which now subsists betwren them, is not only prepasterous, but fraught with deepest danger. If there was no alternative but to try the " experiment" here, reason and humanity dictate that the sufferings of "gradualism" should be saved and the catastrophe of "immediate abolition," cnacted as rapidly as possible. Are you impatient for the performance to commence? Do you long to gloat over the scenes I have suggested, but could not hold the pen to portray? In your long lite many such have passed under your review. You know that they are not "impossible." Can they be to your taste? Do you believe that in laboring to bring them about the Abolitionists are doing the will of God? No! God is not there. It is the work of Satan. The Arch-fiend, under specious guises, has found his way into their souls, and with false appeals to philanthropy, and foul insinuations to ambition, instigates them to rush headlong to the accomplishment of his diabolical designs.

We live in a wonderful age The events of the last three qquarters of a century appear to have revolutionized the human mind. Enterprise and ambition are only limited in their purpeses by the horizon of the imagination. It is the transcendental era. In philosophy, religion, government, science, arts, commerce, rothi: g that has been is to be allowed to be. Conservatism in any form is scoffed at. The slighost taint of it is fatal. Where will all this end? If you can tolerate one ancient maxim let it be that the best criterion of the Future is the Past. That, if anything, will give a clue. And, looking bark only through your time, what was the earliest feat of this same Transcendentalism? The rays of the new Moral Drummond Light were first concentrated to a focus at Paris, to illuminate the Universe. In a twinkling it consumed the political, relgious, and social systems of France. It could not be extinguished there until literally drowned in blood. And then from its ashes arose that supernatural man, who, for twonty years kept affrighted Europe in convulsions. Since that time its scattered beams, refracted by broader surfaces, have nevertlieless continued to scathe wherever they have fallen. What political structure, what religious creed, but has felt the galvanic shnck, and even now trembles to its foundations? Mankind, still horror-
strickin by the catastrophe of Frauce, have shruin from rash eaperiments upon social systems. But they have been practising in the East, around the Mediterrancan, and through the W'est India Islands. And growing corfident, a portion of them sem desperately bent on kindling the all.devouring flame in the bosom of our land. Let it once again blaze up to heaven and another cyele of blood and devastation will dawn upos the world. For our sakc, and for the sake of those infatuated men who are madly driving on the conflagration ; for the sake of human nature. we are called on to strain every nerve to arrest it. Aud be assured our efforts will be bounded only with our being. Nor do I doubt that five millions of perple, brave, intelli. gent, united, and prepared to hazard every thing, will, in such a cause, with the blessing of God, sustain themselves. At al events come what maty, it is ours to meet it.

We are well aware of the light cestimation in which the Abolitionists, anf those who are taught by them, profess to hold us. We have seen the attempt of a portion of the Free Churelk of Seothand to reject our alins, on the ground that we are "Slavo-Drivers," after sending missionaties to solicit them. And wo have seen Mr. O'Conall, tice "irresponsible master" of milliens of ragged serfs, from whom, poverty stricken as they are, he contrives to wring a splendid privy purse, throw hack with contumely the" tribute" of his owns countrymen from this latud of "miscreants." These people may exhaust their slang and make black-guards of themselves, but they cannot defle us. And as for the saggestion to exclude slavehollers from jour London clubs, we sevut it. Many ofus, indeed, do go to Loniton, nad we have seen your breed of gawky Lords, both there and here, but it nevererie.cd into our concep. tions to look on them as briter than emrselves. Nor can we be nomoyed by the sidiculous airs of such upstarts as your OComells, Ritehies, Macaulays, and the like. The American slaye bolders, culbectively cy individuaily, asla no fivers of any manor race who tread the earel. In wone of the atributes of men, mental or physicit. do they acknowledge or fear supuriority ctse. where. 'They stand in the brouds: light of the knowledge, civilization and improvement of the agt, as nuch favoocel of lleaven as any of the sons of Adan. Fxacting nothing uudhe, they yield mothing bat justice and contesy, wata to royal deori. Thy y an neither be: nattocd, duped, nor bullied ont of their tights or their propmitg. They smile with contempt at scurrility and vapouring bryond the seas, and wey turn their becks upon it where it i" "irrespons.her" but ins lene that ventures t. low them in the face, will me vee fail to be chastised.

I think I hay tons you will mot regard this !eter an intrasive. I should never have catermiand on ilea of writing it, had you not opened the cor.

regret that I lost soon after it was received-and you will probably find that you have taken your revenge beforehand. If you have not, transfer an equitable share of what you deem severe to the account of the $\Lambda$ bolitionists at large. They have arcumulated against the slaveholders a balance of inveetive which, with all our effurts, we shall net be able to liquidate much short of tie era in which your National debt will be paid. At all events, I have no desire to offend you personally, and, with the best wis!es for your continued health, I have the honor to be,

Yobir obedient servant,

## J. II. II.AMMOND.

Thos. Clarifon, Esq.

Silver Bluff, s. C., March 24, 1845.

SIR :-In my letter to you of the ZSth January--winich I trust you have received ere this-I mentioned that I had lost your circular letter soon after it had come to hand. It was, i am glad to say, only mishaid, and has within a few days been recoverd. A second perusal of it induces me to resume my pen. Unwilling to trust ny recollections from a single reading, I did not in iny last commanication attempt to follow the coursc of your argament, and meet directly the points made and the terms used. I thought it better to take a general view of the subject which could not fail to traverse your most material charges. I am well aware however that, for fear of being tedious, I omitted many interesting topics altog ther, and abstained from a complete discussion of some of those introduced. I do not propose now to exhaust the subject; which it would require volumes to do; but without waiting to learnwhich I may never do-your opinion of what I have already said, I sit down to supply some of the deficiencies of my letter of January, and, with your circular before me, to reply to such parts of it as have not been fully answered.

It is, I perceive, addressed among others to "such as have never visited the Southern States" of this confederacy, and professes to enlighten their ignorance of the actual "condition of the poor slave in their own country." I cannot help thinking you would have displayed prudence in confining the circulation of your letter altogether to such persons. You might then have indulged with impunity in giving, as you have done, a picture of slavery drawn from your own excited imagination, or from those impure fountains, the Martincaus, Marryatts, Trollopes and Dickenses, who have profited by catering, at our expense, to the jealous sensibilities and debauched tastes of your countrymen. Admitting that you are familiar with the history of slavery and the past discussions of it, as I did, I now think rather broadly, in my former let-
ter, what can you know of the true condition of the "poor slave" here? I am not aware that you have ever visited this country, or even the West Indies. Can you suppose that because you have devoted your life to the investigation of the subject-commencing it under the influence of an enthusiasm so mel. ancholy at first and so volcanic afterwards as to be nothing short of hallu. cination-pursuing it as $m \times n$ of one idea do everything, with the single purpose of establishing your own view of it-gathering your information from discharged seamen, disappointed speculators, factious politicians, visionary reformers and scurrilous tourists-opening your ears to every species of complaint, exaggeration and fulsehood that interested ingenuity could invent, and never for a moment questioning the truth of anything that could make for your cause-can you suppose that all this has qualified you, living the while in Eng. land, to form or approximate towards the formation of a correct opinion of the condition of slaves among us? I know the po ver of self-delusion. I have not the least doubt that you think yourself the very best informed man alive on this subject, and that many think so likewise. So far as facts go, even after deducting from your list a great deal that is not fact, I will not deny that probably your collection is the most extensive in existence. But as to the truth in regard to slavery, there is not an adult in this region but knows more of it than you do. Trutld and fact are, you are aware, by no means synonimous terms. Ninety-nine facts may constitute a falsehood: the hundredih, add d or alone, gives the truth. With all your knowledge of facts, I mndertake ta say that you are entirely and grossly ignorant of the real condition of our slaves. And from all that I can see, you are equally ignorant of the essential principles of human association revealed in history, both sacred and profane, on which slavery rests, and which will perpetuate it forever in some form or other. However you may declaim against it ; however powerfully you may array atrocious incidents; whatever appeals you may make to the heated imaginations and tender sensibilities of mankind, believe me, your total blindness to the whole truth, which alone constitutes the truth, incapacitates you from ever making an impression on the sober reason and sound common sense of the world. You may seduce thousands-you can convince no one. Whenever and wherever you or the advocates of your cause can arouse the passions of the weakminded and the ignorant, and, bringing to bear with them the interests of the vicious and unprineipled, overwhelm common sense and reason-as God sometimes permits to be done-you may triumph. Such a triumph we have witnossed in Great Britain. But I trust it is fur distant here : Nor can it from its nature be extensive or endur ng. Other classes of Reformers, animated by the same spirit as the Abolitionists, attack the institution of marriage, and even the established relations of Parent and Child. And they collect instances of barbarous cruelty and shock.
ing degradation which rival, if they do not throw into the shade, your slavery statistics. But the rights of marriage and parental authority rest upon truths as obvious as they are unchangeable-coming home to every human being,-self-impressed forever on the individual mind, and cannot be shaken until the whole man is corrupted, nor subverted until civilized society becomes a putrid mass. Domestic slavery is not so universally understood, nor can it make such a direct appeal to individuals or society beyond its pale. Here, pre. judice and passion have room to sport at the expense of others. They may be excited and urged to dangerous action, remote from the victims they mark out. They may, as they have done, effect great mischief, but they cannot be made to maintain, in the long run, dominion over reason and common sense, nor ultimately put down what God has ordained.

You deny however that slavery is sanctioned by God, and your chief argument is that when he gave to Adam dominion over the fruits of the earth and the animal creation he stopped there. "He never gave him any further right over his fellow men." You restrict the descendants of Adam to a very short list of rights and powers, duties and responsibilities, if you limit them solely to those conferred and enjomed in the first chapter of Genesis. It is very obvious that in this narrative of the creation Moses did not have it in view to record any part of the Law intended for the government of man in his social or political state. Eve was not yet created; the expulsion had not yet taken place; Cain was unborn; and no allusion whatever is made to the manifold decrees of God to which these events gave rise. The only serious answer this argument deserves is to say, what is so manifestly true, that God's not expressly giving to Adam "any right over his fellow men" by no means excluded Him from conferring that right on his descendants; which he in fact did. We know that Abraham, the chosen one of God, exereised it and held property in his fellow man, even anterior to the period when property in land was acknowledged. We might infer that God had authorised it. But we are not reduced to inference or conjecture. At the hazard of fatiguing you by repetition, I will again refer you to the ordinances of the scriptures. Innumerable instances might be quoted where God has given and commanded men to assume dominion over their fellow men. But one will suffice. In the twenty-fifth chapter of Leviticus you will find Domestic Slavery-precisely such as is maintained at this day in these States-ordained and established by God, in language which I defy you to pervert so as to leave a doubt on any honest mind that this institution was founded by Him, and de. creed to be perpetual. I quote the words:

Leviticus, 25 ch. 44 v. : "Both thy Bondmen and thy Bondmaids which thou shalt have, shall be of the Heathen [Africans] that are round about you: of them ye shall buy Bondinen and Bondmaids.

45: Moreover, of the children of the strangers that do sojourn among you, of them shall ye buy, and of their families that are with you which they begat in your land [descendants of Africans?] and they shall be your pos. session."

46: "And ye shall take theri as an inheritance for your chillw"en after you, to inluerit them for a possession. They shall be your Bondmen forever."

What human Legislature could make a decree more full and explicit than this? What court of Law or Chancery could dufeat a title to a slave couched in terms so clear and complete as these? And this is the Law of God, whom you pretend io worship, while you denounce and traduce us for respecting it.

It seems scarcely eredible, but the fact is so, that you deny this Law so plainly written, and in the face of it, have the hardihood to declare that " though slavery is not specificaliy, yet it is virtually forbidden in the scrip. tures, because all the crimes which necessarily arise out of slavery, and which can arise from no other source, are reprobated there and threatened with divine vengeance." Such on unworthy subterfuge is scarcely entitled to consideration. But its gross absurdity may be exposed in few words. I do not know what crimes you particularly allude to as arising frorn slavery. But you will perhaps admit-not because they are denounced in the decalogue, which the Abolitionists respect only so far as they choose, but because it is the immediate interest of most mon to admit-that disobedience to parents, adultery, and stealing, are crimes. Yet these crimes "necessarily arise from" the relations of parent and child, marriage, and the possession of private pro. perty; at least they "can arise from no uther suurces." Then, according to your argument, it is "virtuaily forbidden" to marry, to beget children, and to hold private property ! Nay it is forbidden to live, since murder can on'y be perpetrated on living subjects. You add that "in the same way the gladia. torial shows of old, and other barbarous customs, were not specifically forbidden in the New Testament, and yet christianity was the sole means of their suppression." This is very true. But these shows and barbarous customs thus suppressed, were not authorised by Goil. They were not ordained and commanded by God for the benefit of His chosen people and mankind, as the purchase and holding of Bondmen and Sondmaids were. Had they been they would never have been "suppressed by Christianity" any more than slavery can be by your party. Although Christ came "not to destroy but fulfil the Law" he neverthess did formally abrogate some of the ordinances promulgated by Moses, and all such as were at war with his mission of "peace and good will on carth." He "specifically" anouls, for instance, one "barbarous custom" sanctioned by those ordinances, where he says: "ye have lieard that it hath been said, an cye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth; but I say unto you that ye resist not evil, but whosoever shall smite thee on
the right cieck turn to him the other, alvo." Now, in the time of Chris: it was usual for masters to put their slaves to death on the slightest provocation. They even killed and ent them up to feel their fishes. Ite was undonbedty aware of these thingi, as well as of the Law and Commandment I have quoied. He conld only have been restrained from denouncing them, as he did the " lex talionis," because he knew that in despite of these barbarities the institution of slavery was at the bottom a sound and wholesome as well as lawfol one. Certain it is, that in His wislom and puri y he did not see proper to interfere with it. In your wisdum, however, you make the sacrilegious attempt to overthrow it.

You quote the denunciation of Tyre and Sidon, and say that "the chiet reason given by the Prophet Joel for their destruction, was, that they were notorious beyond all others for carrying or the Slave Trade." I am afraid you think we have no Bibles in the slave States, or that we are unable to read them. I cannot otherwise account for your making this reference, un. less indeed your own reading is confined to an expurgated edition, prepared for the use of Abolitionists, in which everything relating to slavery that militates against their view of it is left out. The Prophet Joel denomees the Tyrians and Sidonians because "The children also of Judah and the children of Jerusalem have ye sold unt, the Grecians." And what is the divine ven. geance for this "notorious slave treding ?" Hear it. "And I will sell your sons and daughters into the hands of the children of Judah, and they shall sell them to the Sabcans, to a people far off: for the Lord hath spoken i.." Do you call this a condemnation of slave-trading? The Prophet makes God Himself a participator in the crime, if thit be one. "The Lord hath spoken it," he says, that the Tyrians and Sidonians shall be sold into slavery to strangers. Their real offence, was in enslaving the Chosen People; and ther sentence was a repetition of the oid Command, to make slaves of the "Heathen round abut."

I have dwelt upon your seriptural argument becanse you profess to believe the Bible; because a large proportion of the Abolitionists profess to do the same, and to act under its sucetion; because your Circular is addressed in part to "professing Christians ;" and because it is from that class mainly that you expect to seduce converts by your anti-chr.stian, I may say, infidel dorstrines. It would be wholly unnecessary to answer you to any one who reads the scriptures for himself, and construes them according to any other formu. la than that which the Abulitionists are wickedly endeavoring to impose upon the world. The seriptu:al sanction of slavery is in fact so palpable, and so strong, that both wings of you: party are beginning to acknowledge it. The more sensible and moderate admit, as the orgin of the Free Church of Sco:land, the North British Review, has lately done, that they "ure preciuded
ly the statements and conduct of the Aposiles from regarling mere slave-hold. ing as essentaally sinful," while the desperate and reckless, who are bent on keeping up the agitation at every hazard, declare, as has been done in the Anti-Slavery Record, "If our inquiry turns out in favor of slavery, if is the Bible that must fall, and not the rights of human natore." You cannot, I am satisfied, much longer maintuin before the world, the Christian platform from which to wage war upon our Institutions. Driven from it, you must abandon the contest, or, repudiating Revelation, rush into the horrors of Natural Religion.

You nex: complain, that our slaves are kept in bondage by the "Law of force." In what country or condition of mankind do you see human affairs regulated merely by the law of love? Unless I am greatly mistaken you will, if you look over the world, find nearly all certain and permanent rights, civil, social, and I may even add religious, resting on and ultimately secured by the "law of force." The power of majorities-of aristocracies-of Kings nay of priests, for the most part, and of property, resolves itself at last into "force," and could not otherwise be long maintained. Thus, in every turn of your argument against our system of slavery, you advance, whether conscious of it or not, radical and revolutionary doctrines calculated to change the whole face of the world, to overthrow all government, disorganize so. ciety, and reduce man to a state of nature-red with blond, and shrouded once more in barbaric ignorance. But you greatly err, if you suppose, beeause we rely on furce in tie last resort to maintain our supremacy over our slaves, that ours is a stern and unfeeling domination at all to be compared in hard-hearted severity to that exercised, not over the mere laborer only, but by the higher over each lower order, wherever the British sway is acknowledged. You say, that if those you address were "to spend one day in the South they would return home with impressions against slavery never to be erased." But the fact is universally the reverse. I have known numerous instances, and I never knew a single one, where there was no other cause of offence and no object to promote by falsehood, that individuals from the non-slave-holding States did not, after residing among us long enough to understand the subject, "return home" to defend our slavery. It is matter of regret, that you have never tried the experiment yourself. I do not doubt you would have been converted, for 1 give you credit for an honest though perverted mind. You would have seen how weak and futile is all abst:act reasoning about this matter, and that, as a building may not be less elegant in its proportions, or tasteful in its ornaments, or virtuous in its uses, for being based upon granite, so a system of human government, though founded on force, may develope and cultivate the tenderest and purest sentiments of the humas heart. And our patriarchal scheme of domestic
servitude is indeed well calculated to awaken the higher and finer feeiings of our nature. It is not wanting in its enthusiasm and its poe'ry. The relations of the most beloved and honored chief, and the most faithful and adiniring subjects, which from the time of Homer have been the theme of song, are frigid and unfelt compared with those existing between the master and his slaves-who served his father, and rocked his cradle, or have been born in his house-hold, and look forward to serve his children-who have been through life the props of his fortune, and the objects of his care-who have partaken of his griefs, and looked to him for comfort in their own-whose sickness he has so often watched over and relieved-whose holidays he hans so often made joyous by his bounties and his presence: for whose welfare when absent his anxious solicitude vever ceases, and whose hearty and affectionate greetings never fail to welcome him home. In this cold, calculating, ambitious world of ours, there are fow ties more heartfelt, or of more benignant influence, than those which mutually bind the master and the slave, un. der our ancient system, handed down from the Father of Isracl. The unholy purpose of the Abolitionists, is to destroy by defiling it; to infuse into it the gall and bitterness which rankle in their own envenomed bosoms; to poison the minds of the master and the selvant ; turn love to hatred, array "force" against force, and hurl all,
" Wihh hideons ruin and combustion, down To botlomless perdition."
You think it a great "crime" that we do not pay our slaves "wages," and on this account pronounce us "robbers." In my former letter I showed that the la. bor of our slaves was not without great cost to us, and that in fact they them. selves receive more in return for it than your hirelings do for theirs. For what purpose do men labor, but to support themselves and their families in what comfort they are able? The efforts of mere physical labor sellom suf. fice to provide more than a livelihood. And it is a well known and shocking fact, that while few operatives in Great Britain succeed in securing a comfortable living, the greater part drag cut a miserable existence, and sink at last under absolute want. What avail is it that you go through the form of paying them a pittance of what you call "wages," when you do not, in return for their services, allow them what alone they ask-and have a just right to denand-enough to feed, clothe and lodge them, in health and sickness, with reasonable comfort. Though we to not give "wages" in money. we do this for our slaves, and they are therefore better rewarded than yours. It is the prevailing vice and error of the age, and one from which the Abolitionists, with all their saintly pretensions, are far from being free, to bring everything to the standard of money. You make gold and silver the great test of happiness. The American slave must be wretched indeed, because he is
n.te compensuted for his services in cash. It is altogether praiseworthy to pay the laborer a shilling a day and let him starve on it. To supply all his wants aboudantly, and at all times, yet withhold from him money, is among "the most reprobated crimes." The fact cannot be denied, that the mere laborer is mos and always has been, everywhere that barbarism has ceased. easlaved. Among the innovations of modern times following "the decay of villeinage," has been the creation of a new system of slavery. The primitive and patriarchal, which may also be cailed the sacred and natural system, in which the laborer is under the personal contrul of a fellow-being endowed with the sentiments and sympathics of humanity, exists among us. It his been almost everywhere clsa superceded by the modern artificial moneyponer system, in which man-his thews and sinews, his hopes and affections, his very being, are all subjected to the dominion of Capital-a inonster without a heart-zold, stern, arihmetical-sticking to the bond-taking ever "the pround of flesh"-working up human life with Engines, and retailing it out by weight and mensure. His name of old was "Mammon, the least erected spirit that fell from Heaven." And it is to cxtend his Empire, that you and your deluded coadjutors dedicate your lives. Vou are stirring up mankinel to overthrow our Heaven-ordained system of servitude, surrounded by innumerable checks, designed and planted deep in the human heart by God and nature, to substitute the absolute rule of this "Spirit Reprobate" whose proper place was Hell.

You charge us with looking on our slaves "as chattels or brutes," and enter into a somewhat elaborate argument to prove that they have "human forms," "talk," and even "think." Now the fact is that, however yon may indulge in this strain for effect, it is the Abolitionists, and not the Slave-holders, who practically, and in the most important point of view, regard our slaves as "chattels or brutes." In your calculations of the consequences of emancipation you pass over entircly those which must prove most serious, and which arise from the fact of their being persons. You appear to think that we might abstain from the use of them as readily as if they were machines to be laid aside, or eattle that might be turned out to find pasturage for themsclves. I have heretofore glanced at some of the results that would follow from breaking the bonds of so many human beings now peacefully and happily linked into our social system. The tragic horrors, the decay and ruin that would for years, perhaps for ages, brood over our land, if it could be accomplished, I will not attempt to portray. But do you faney the blight w uld, in such an even', come to us alone? The diminution of the surgar crop of the West Indies affected Great Britain only, and there chiefly the poor. It was a matter of no moment to Cupital, that Labor should have one comfort less. Yet it has forced a reduction of the British duty on sugar.

Whis can estimate the consequences that mest follow the emaitilution of the cotton erop of the slave holding Staies? I do not undervalue the importance of o:hor articles of commerce, bat no calaminy e uld bwfill tic world at at comparable the tho sudden loss of two millions of bates of ceston ammally. From the deserts of Africa to the Sibcrian wills-citom Grecalaml to the Chincse. Wall, there is nut a sput of carth but would feel the sensation. The Factories of Enrope would fall wilh a concussion that woudd shaike down castles, palaces an! even thrones; while the "purse-proul, eluowing insolence" of our Northern monopolists would disappear forcver unier the smeotia specech of the Pedlar, scouring our fromicers for a livelihool, or the bluff vula garity of the South sea whaler, following the harpoon amil stomns and shoals. Doubtless the Abslitionists think we could grow cotton without slaves, of: that at worst the reduction of the crop would be moderate and temporary. Such gross celusions show how profoudily ignorant they are of o:r tondition here:

You declare that "the character of th" peopte of the South has long been that of hardened Infuld'ls, who fear not God, and haveno rezard for religish." I wiil mot repeat what I said in my furner letter on this point. I only notice it to asts you haw youcould possibly reconcile it to your profession of a Christiai spirit, to make such a malicious charre--to defile your soul with such a calumnt against an unofiending poople?
> "You are oid;
> Naturein yon stands on the very verge Of her confine. You should be ruled and fod By aome discrelion." -

## Ma; Goll furgive you.

Akin to this is the wanton and furious assault malle on as by Mr. Macau. Lay, in his late speech oa the Sugar duties, in the IIouse of C'onmons, which has just reached nes. His denumciatiossare wholly without measure, and among whr thing, he nsserts "that Slavery ha the United States wears its worst form ; that, b oasting of our civilization an I freedom, and irequenting Christian Chu:chos, we brend up slaves, may, beget chiidren for slaves, and se.t thent at so much a head." Mr. Macaulay is a Reviewer, and he knows that he is "unthing if nue e: itimal." The practice of his trade has given him the command of ail the shathing ind vituperative pheases of our language, and the turn of his mind leads him th the hititual uze of then. Ho is an autas, and as an cops-right hav securc; for him from this country a consideration for his writing, ic is not only indepention of us, but maturaliy hites ever, thing Amerizan. Ilo is the liopresentation of Edinbargh: it is his cus to decry
 per, his indignation against un, mu his cupaceity for sailiz. IIe has cufured onec, far buingo inalvance of histim in favor of Abolition, an the coes nut

may now be thrown to the rociferators in the cause. If he does not know that the statements he has made respecting the slaveholders of this country are vilc and atrocious falsehoods, it is because he does not think it worth his while to be sure he speaks the truth, so that he speaks to his own purpose.
"Hic niger est, hume tu, Romane caveto."
Such exhibitions as he has made may draw the applause of a British House of Commons, but among the sound and high-minded thinkers of the world they can only excite contempt and disgust.

But you are not content with depriving us of all religious feelings. You assert that our slavery has also "demoralized the Northern States," and charge upon it not only every common violation of good order there, but the "Mormon murders," the "Philadelphia riots," and all "the exterminating wars against the Indians." I wonder that you did not increase the list by adding that it had caused the recent inundation of the Mississippi, and the hurricane in the West Indies--perhaps the insurrection of Rebecca, and the war in Scinde. You refer to the law prohibiting the transmission of Abolition petitions through the mail as proof of general corruption! You could not do so, however, without noticing the late detected espionage over the British Post Office by a Minister of State. It is true, as you say, it "occasioned a general outburst of National feeling"-from the opposition; and a "Parliamentary inquiry was instituted"-that is moved, but treated quite cavalierly. At all cevents, though the fact was admitted, Sir James Graham yet retains the Home Department. For one, I do not undertake to condemn him. Such things are not against the laws and usages of your country. I do not know fully what reasons of State may have influenced him and justified his con. luct. But I do know that there is a vast difference in point of "national morality" between the discretionary power residing in your Government to open any letter in the public post office, and a well-defined and limited law to prevent the circulation of certain specified incendiary writings by means of the United States Mail.

Having now referred to everything like argument on the subject of Slavery that is worthy of notice in your letter, permit me to remark on its tone and styie, and very extraordinary bearing upon other Institutions of this country. You commence by addressing certain classes of our people as belonging to " $\Omega$ nation whose character is now so low in the estimation of the civilized world;" and throughout you maintain this tone. Did the Americans who were "under your roof last summer" inform you that such language would be gratifying to their fellow.citizens "having no practical concern with slaveholding"! Or do the infanous libels on America which you read in our Abolition papers induce you to believe that all that class of people are, like the $\Lambda$ bolitionists themselves, totally destitute of patriotism or pride of country? Let me tell
you that you are grossly deceived. And althcugh your stock brukers and other speculators, who have been bitten in American ventures, may lave raised a stumning "cry" against us in Eingland, there is a vast body of people here besides slave-holders, who justly

> "Deem their own land of every land the pride, Beloved by Heaven oce all the world beside."

And who know that at this moment we rank among the First Puwers of the world-a position which we not ouly claim, but are always ready and able to maintain.

The style you assume in addressing your Northern friends is in perfect keeping with your apparent estimation of them. Though I should be the last, perliaps, to criticise mere style, I could not but be struck with the ex. tremely simple manner of your letter. You seem to have thought you were writing a Tract for benighted Heathen, and telling woulers never before sug. gested to their imagination, and so far above their untutored comprehension as to require to be related in the primitive language of "the clild"s own book." This is sufficiently amusing ; and would be more so but for the coarse and biter epithets you continually apply to the poor slave-holders-epithets which appear to be stereotyped for the use of Abolitionists, and which form a large and material part of all their arguments.
But perhaps the most extraordinary part of your letter is your bold denunciation of "the shameful compromises" of our Constitution, and your earn. est recommendation to those you address to overthrow or revolutionize it. In s) many words you say to them, "you must either separate yourselves from all political connexion with the South, and make your own laws; or if you do not choose such a separation you must break up the political ascendancy which the Southern have had for so long a time over the Northern States." The italics in this as in all other quotations are your own. It is well for those who circulate your letter here, that the Constitution you denounce requires an overt act to constitute Treason. It may be tolerated for an Ainerican by birth, to use on his own soil the freedom of speaking and writing which is guarantied to him, and abuse our Constitution, our Union, and our people. But that a Foreigner should use such seditious language, in a Circular Letter addressed to a portion of the American people, is a presumption well calculated to excite the indignation of all. The party known in this country as the Abolition Party has long since avowed the sentiments you express, and adopted the policy you enjoin. At the recent Presidential Election they gave over 62,000 votes for their own Candidate, and held the balance of power in two of the largest States-wanting but little of doing it in several others. In the last four years their vote has quadrupled. Should the infatuation continue and their vote increase in the same ratio in the next four years, it will be as
10.ge is the rote of the actual siave-hoiners of the Union. Siach a prospect is A duthess cxtremely gratifing to you. It gives hope of a contest on such 1.ans as may insure the thowfell of Slavery or our Constitution. The South vence..tes the Constitution, and is prepared tos stand by it forever, such as it cume from the hants of our fathers; to risk everything to defend and mainsain it in its integrity. Dut the South is uader no such delusion as to believe that it derives any pecultar protection from the Union. On the eontrary, it is weil known we incur peculiar duger and that we bear far more than our [roporion of the budelis. 'The apprenhension is also fest fading away that :th yof the dreadful consequences commonly predieted will necessarily result fron a soparation of the Siatis. And come what may we are firnly resoived that our sxistem of Honestic Siavery shall stavid. The fate of the Union ther--but thank God not of Republican Government-rests mainly in the hands of the peuple to whom your letter is addressed--he "professing ('hristians of the Northern States having no concern with slaveholding," and whom with incendiary zeal you are cadeavoring to stir up to strite-without whin fanaticism can neither live, move, nor have any being.

We lave often been tauntel for our sensitiveness in regard to the discussion of Shivery. Do not strpose it is because we have any doubts of our rights, or seruples about asserting them. There was a time when such fiuvits and seruples were entertained. Our ancestors opposed the introduction of Slaves into this comtry, and a feeling adiverse to it was handed down from thom. The enthusiastic luve of liberty fostered by our Revolution strengthened this fecling. And before the commencem nt of the Abolition agitation bere, it was the common sentiment that it was desirable to get rid of Slavery. Many thotight it our duty to do su. When that agitation arose we were driven to a close cxamination of the subject in all its bearings, at:d the result lat been an unietral cometction has in hol.ing Siaves we violate no law of Gud,--intitet no injustice on alay of his creatures-while the terrible consequences of emancipation to all partics and the world at large, clanly revaled to us, make us shudder at the bare thought of it. The slaseholders are therefore iadured to the Ibulitionists for perfect case of conscience, and the sutisfuction of a sctiled and umamous dutermination in refirence io this mater. And coud their agitation eate now, I believe, after all, the good wouid preponderate wer the evil of it in this conntry. On the contrary, howaver, it is urged on with fruatic violence, and the Abolitionists, reasoang in tho abstract, its if it were a mere moral or metaphysical speculation, or a minor question in polities, profess io be surprised att our exasperation. In theia fygurance ant recklessness ithey sce m to be unable to comprelend our feelings or position. 'The stibversisn of our vighis, the cestruction of our property, the disilutbace of our prace ant the pusee of the word, ace matters which do
not appear to arrest their consideration. When Revolutionary France proclaimed "Iatred to Kings and unity to the Republic," and inscribed on her banners "France risen against Tyrants," she professed to be only worshipping "Abstract Rights." And if there can be such things, perhaps she was. Yet all Europe rose to put her sublime theories down. They deelared her an enemy to the common peace; that her doctrines alone violated the "Law of Neighborhood," and, as Mr. Burke said, justly entitled them to anticipate the "damnum nondum factum" of the civil law. Danton, Barrere and the rest were apparently astonished that umbrage should be taken. The parallel between them and the Abolitionists holds good in all respects.

The rise and progress of this Fanaticism is one of the phenomena of the age in which we live. I do not intend to repeat what I have already said, or to trace its eareer more minutely at present. But the Legislature of Great Britain will make it historical, and doubtless you must feel some curiosity to know how it will figure on the page of the Annalist. I think I can tell you. Though I have accorded and do accord to you and your party great influence in bringing about the Parliamentary action of your country, you must not expect to go down to posterity as the only cause of it. Though you trace the progenitors of Abolition from 1516 through a long stream with divers branches down to the period of its triumph in your country, it] has not escaped contemporaries, and will not escape posterity, that England, without much effort sustained the storm of its scoffs and threats until the moment arrived when she thought her colonies fully supplied with Africans; and declared against the Slave Trade only when she deemed it unnecessary to her, and when her colonies full of Slaves would have great advantages over others not so well furnished. Nor did she agree to West India emancipation until, discovering the error of her previous calculation, it became an object to have slaves free throughout the Western world, and, on the ruins of the Sugar and Cotton growers of America and the Islands, to build up her great Slave Empire in the East: while her indefatigable exertions, still continued, to engraft the Right of Scarch upon the Law of Nations, on the plea of putting an end to the forever increasing Slave Trade, are well understood to have chiefly in view the complete establishment of her supremacy at Sea. On these points let me recommend you to consult a very able Essay on the Slave Trade and Right of Search by M. Jollivet, recently published; and as you say, since writing your Circular Letter, that you "burn to try your hand on another little Essay if a subject could be found," I propose to you to "try" to answer this question, put by M. Jollivet to England: "Pourquoi sa philanthropie n'a pas daigne, jusqu' a present, doubler le cap de Bonne-Esperance?" Nor must you flatter yourself that your party will derive historic dignity from the names of the illustrious British statesmen who have acted with it. Their
country's ends were theirs. They have stooped to use you, as the most illustrious men will sometimes use the vilest instruments, to accomplish their own purposes. A few philanthropic common places and rhetorical flourishes, "in the abstract," have secured them your "sweet voices," and your influence over the tribe of mawkish sentimentalists. Wilberforee may have been yours, but what was he besides, but a wealihy county member? You must therefore expect to stand on your own merits alone before posterity, or rather that portion of it that may be curious to trace the history of the Delusions which from time to time pass over the surface of human affairs, and who may trouble themselves to look through the ramifications of Transeendentalism in this era of extravagances. And how do you expect to appear in their eyes? As Christians, piously endeavoring to enforce the will of God and carry out the prineiples of Christianity? Certainly not, since you deny or perrert the Scmptures in the doctrines you advance; and in your conduct furnish a glaring contrast to the examples of Christ and the A postles.-As Philanthropists, devoting yourselves to the cause of humanity, relieving the needy, comforting ing the afflicted, creating peace and gladness and plenty round about you? Certainly not ; since you turn from the ucedy, the aflicted; from strife, sorrow and starvation which surround you; close your cyes and hands upon them; shut out from your thoughts and feelings the human misery which is real, tan. gible, and within your reach, to indulge your morbid imagination in conjuring up woes and wants among a strange pcople in distant lands, and offering them suecor in the shape of costless denunciations of their best friends, or by scattering among them "firebrands, arrows and death." Such fully and mad-ness-such wild mockery and base imposture, can never win for you, in the sober judgement of future times, the name of Philanthropists. Will you even be regarded as worthy citizens? Scarcely, when the purposes you have in view can only be achieved by revolutionizing governments and overturning social systems, and when you do not hesitate zealously and earnestly to recommend such measures. Be assured, then, that posterity will not regard the Abolitionists as Christians, Philanthropists, or virtuous citizens. It will, I have no doubt: look upon the mass of the party as silly enthusiasts, led away by designing characters, as is the case with all parties that break from the great, acknowledged ties which bind civilized man in fellowship. The leaders themselves will be regarded as mere ambitious men; not taking rank with those whose ambition is "eagle-winged and sky-aspiring," but belonging to that mean and selfish class who are instigated by "rival-hating envy," and whose base thirst is for Notoriety: who cloak their designs under vile and impious hypocrisies, and, unable to shine in higher spheres, devote them. selves to Fanaticism, as a trade. And it will be perceived that, even in that, they shunned the highest walk. Religious Fanaticism was an old established
vocation, in whic's something brilliant was required to attract attention. They could not be George Foxes, nor Joanva Southcotes, nor even Jon Smiths. But the dullest pretender could discourse a jumble of pious bigotry, natural rights, and drivelling philanthropy. And, addressing himself to aged folly and youthful vanity, to ancient women, to ill-goten wealth, to the reckless of all elasses who love excitement and change, offer all the eheapest and the safest glory in the market. Hence, their numbers; and, from number and clamo:, what impression they have made on the world.
Such I am persuaded is the light in which the Abolitionists will be viewed by the posterity their history may reach. Unles:, indeed-which God for-bid-circumstances should so favor as to enable the $n$ to produce a convulsion which may clevate them higher on the "bad eminence" where they have placed themselves.

I have the honor to be<br>Your obedient scrvant,<br>J. H. IIAMMOND.

Thonas Clabison, Esq.

Note.-The foregoing Letters were not originally intended for publication. In preparing them for the press they have been revised. The alterations and corrections made however bave been mostly verbal. Had the writer te!t at liberty to condense the two letters futo one, and bring up the history of Abolition to the period of publication, he might have presented a more concise and perfect argument, and illustrated his views more forcibly by reference to facts recently developed. For example, since writing the first, the letter of Mr. Clarison, as President of the British Anti-Slavery Society, to Sir Robert Pefl., deoouncing the whole scheme of "Immigration," has reached him; and after he had forwarded the last, he saw it stated that Mr. Clarkson had as late as the first part of April, addressed the Earl of Aberdeen, and declared that all efforts to suppress the African Slave Trade had fully failed. It may be confidently expected that it will be ere long announced from the same quarter, that the "experiment" of West-India Emancipation has also proved a complete abortion.

Should the terms which have been applied to the Abolitionistz appear to any as unduly severe, let it be remembered that the direct aim of these people is to destroy us by the most shocking of all processes; and that, having a large portion of the civilized world for their andience, they daily and systematically heap upon us the vilest calumnies and most unmitigated abuse. Clergymen lay aside their Bibles and Females unsex themselves to earry ou this horrid warfare against Slave-holders.

Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1845, by A. G. Summer, In the Office of the Clerk of the District Court of South Carolina.
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